arXiv:1202.2188v2 [math.NT] 20 Mar 2012

Triangulation of refined families

Ruochuan Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109
ruochuan@umich.edu

March 16, 2011

Abstract

We prove the global triangulation conjecture that a family of refined p-adic representations admits a global triangulation in a scheme-theoretically dense subset of the base which contains all regular noncritical points. We also prove that any p-adic representation appeared in refined families is trianguline.

Contents

0 Introduction **2**
- 0.1 Finite slope subspace . . . 3
- 0.2 Triangulation locus . . . 4
- 0.3 Refined families . . . 5
- 0.4 Structure of the paper . . . 6

1 Preliminaries **6**
- 1.1 The (φ, Γ)-module functor . . . 6
- 1.2 Sheafification of the (φ, Γ)-module functor . . . 10
- 1.3 Localization maps . . . 11
- 1.4 The sheaf $(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K}$ . . . 13
- 1.5 Sen's operator . . . 14

2 The extended Robba ring **17**
- 2.1 Definitions . . . 17
- 2.2 Key lemma . . . 20
- 2.3 Relations between different rings . . . 22

3 Construction of finite slope subspaces **23**
- 3.1 Prelude . . . 23
- 3.2 Techniques . . . 24
- 3.3 Finite slope subspaces . . . 27

4 Triangulation locus of families of p-adic representations 28
4.1 Vector bundles and (φ, Γ)-modules 28
4.2 Triangulation locus 31

5 Applications 34
5.1 Weakly refined families 34
5.2 Refined families 40
5.3 Trianguline 43
5.4 The eigencurve 44

0 Introduction

In [18], Kisin proved the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture for Galois representations attached to finite slope, overconvergent p-adic cuspidal eigenforms. The most significant part of his proof is showing that these representations satisfy the property that their restrictions on a decomposition group of p have nonzero crystalline periods on which Frobenius acts by the U_p-eigenvalues. Furthermore, he conjectured that this property characterizes the Galois representations coming from overconvergent p-adic modular forms. This seminal result inspired some important subsequent developments. In p-adic Hodge theory, Colmez then introduced the notion of *trianguline representations* reformulating this property in the framework of (φ, Γ)-modules over the Robba ring [10]; it plays a key role in his construction of the p-adic local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. In the direction of Bloch-Kato conjecture, Bellaiche-Chenevier [2] and Skinner-Urban [22] applied some (different) variants of Kisin's result to construct elements of Selmer groups by deforming certain p-adic representations on eigenvarieties. More recently, as an application of his proof of the local-global compatibility of p-adic Langlands for GL_2 [11], Emerton confirmed the aforementioned conjecture of Kisin (in most cases). Nowadays, it is widely assumed that the condition of being trianguline at p characterizes the Galois representations coming from overconvergent p-adic automorphic forms. In addition, for an arithmetic family of p-adic representations arising on eigenvarieties, it is conjectured that the triangulation varies analytically, i.e. there exists a *global triangulation*, in a scheme-theoretically dense subset of the base which contains all noncritical points.

The main object of this paper is the so called *refined families of p-adic representations*. This notion was first introduced by Bellaiche-Chenevier in [2] to encodes the properties of the families of Galois representations arising on eigenvarieties. In this paper, the refined families are assumed to be arithmetic families of p-adic representations, not just pseudocharacters as in Bellaiche-Chenevier's definition. The goal of this paper is then to prove the global triangulation conjecture for such refined families under some mild condition. Namely, we will show that a family of refined p-adic representations admits a global triangulation in a scheme-theoretically dense subset of the base which contains all regular noncritical points. We also prove that any p-adic representation appeared in refined families is trianguline.

The proof consists of two major steps. The first step is to give a new construction of Kisin's finite slope subspace which avoids using the Y-smallness condition in Kisin's original work. To do so, we thoroughly use the frame work of (φ, Γ)-modules. In fact, we impose the (φ, Γ)-module analogue of Kisin's original conditions (except the Y-smallness) to cut out the finite slope subspace. With the help of localization maps, we reduce the whole construction to the problem of solving a certain Frobenius equation. The technique heart is to use a relative version of Kedlaya's *extended*

Robba ring, which is introduced by the author in [20], to solve this Frobenius equation. As a consequence of the construction, we obtain a crucial isomorphism linking the crystalline periods (with the prescribed Frobenius eigenvalue) of the family and the Γ-invariants of the associated module through the functor $\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}/t^k$ when k is bigger than the valuation of the Frobenius eigenvalue. Using this isomorphism, a formalism of triangulation locus for families of p-adic representations and Bellaiche-Chenevier's result on the crystalline periods of infinitesimal deformations [2, Theorem 3.3.3], we are able to conclude our main results. This constitutes the second step.

It is worth to point out that with the use of localization maps and the extended Robba ring it provides a new technique to interpolate crystalline periods on eigenfamilies of p-adic representations. We note that there is now an emerging demand to interpolate crystalline periods on certain eigenfamilies of p-adic representations which have *more than one* constant Hodge-Tate weights (e.g. the *finite slope families* in [22]). We hope that our technique will shed light on this interesting problem.

In the following we will explain the main results of the paper and the idea of proofs in more details.

0.1 Finite slope subspace

Let X be a separated rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let V_X be a family of p-adic representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ over X of rank d. Suppose that the Sen's polynomial for V_X is $TQ(T)$ for some $Q(T) \in \mathcal{O}(X)[T]$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$. Inspired by Kisin's original construction, we define finite slope subspaces of X as follows.

Definition 0.1.1. For such a triple (X, α, V_X), we call a Zariski closed subspace X_{fs} a *finite slope subspace* of X with respect to the pair (α, V_X) if it satisfies the following conditions.

(1) For every integer $j \leq 0$, the subspace $(X_{fs})_{Q(j)}$ is scheme-theoretically dense in X_{fs}.

(2) For any affinoid algebra R over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and morphism $g : M(R) \to X$ which factors through $X_{Q(j)}$ for every integer $j \leq 0$, the morphism g factors through X_{fs} if and only if the map

$$(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_R))^{\varphi=g^*(\alpha),\Gamma=1} \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R))^{\Gamma} \tag{0.1.1.1}$$

is an isomorphism for all n sufficiently large (hence for all $n \geq n(V_R)$).

It is not difficult to see that our finite slope subspace (if it exists) coincides with Kisin's because it suffices to test only $\mathbb{Q}_p$-artinian algebras R in Definition 0.1.1(2), and we have Berger and Fontaine's comparison results in this case (see Remark 3.3.5 for more details). Note that we do not require that g is α-small.

The idea for the finite slope subspace is to cut out the maximal Zariski closed subspace X_{fs}, where $Q(j)$ is not identically 0 on any component for any $j \leq 0$, of X such that for any admissible affinoid subdomain $M(S)$ of X, the natural maps

$$(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X|_{M(S)\cap X_{fs}}))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1} \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_X|_{M(S)\cap X_{fs}})/(t^k))^{\Gamma} \tag{0.1.1.2}$$

are isomorphisms for all sufficiently large k. Note that if $a \in (\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=\alpha}$, its image by the map

$$\iota_n : \quad \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,r_n}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S) \to \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k)$$

already determines its image by ι_m for any m, that is $\iota_m(a) = \alpha^{n-m}\iota_n(a)$. Furthermore, the product of ι_n's

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger,r_n}(V_S) \to \Pi_{m\geq n}\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,m}(V_S)/(t^k)$$

is surjective and has kernel $t^k\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger,r_n}(V_S)$. It then follows that for any element of $(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$, all its possible lifts to $(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_S))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ are of the form $\tilde{a} + t^k\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger,r_n}(V_S)$ for some $\tilde{a} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger,r_n}(V_S)$. It then reduces to solve the Frobenius equation

$$\varphi(\tilde{a} + t^k b) = \alpha(\tilde{a} + t^k b)$$

in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger,r_n}(V_S)$. For this, our strategy is to analyze this equation in the base change of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger,r_n}(V_S)$ to the extended Robba ring. It turns out that when k is bigger than the valuation of α in S, this equation admits at most one solution, and the locus of $M(S)$ where the equation admits a solution forms a Zariski closed subspace. This proves:

Theorem 0.1.2. *(Theorem 3.3.1) The rigid analytic space X has a unique finite slope subspace.*

More important, we have that if k is bigger than the valuation of α, then (0.1.1.2) is an isomorphism. This result is crucial for later application on refined families.

Theorem 0.1.3. *(Theorem 3.3.3) Let $M(S)$ be an affinoid subdomain of X_{fs}. Then for any $n \geq n(V_S)$ and $k > \log_p|\alpha^{-1}|$ where the norm is taken in S, the natural map of sheaves*

$$(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_S))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1} \to (\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$$

is an isomorphism. As a consequence, we have that $(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_{X_{fs}}))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ is a coherent sheaf on X_{fs}.

Here $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}$ are sheafifications of the functors $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}$ respectively. For general g in Definition 0.1.1(2), we have the following result.

Theorem 0.1.4. *(Theorem 3.3.4) For any affinoid algebra R over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and morphism $g : M(R) \to X_{fs}$ which factors through $X_{Q(j)}$ for every integer $j \leq 0$, the natural map*

$$(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_R))^{\varphi=g^*(\alpha),\Gamma=1} \to (\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_R)/(t^k))^\Gamma$$

is an isomorphism for all sufficiently large k. As a consequence, we have that $(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_R))^{\varphi=g^*(\alpha),\Gamma=1}$ is a coherent sheaf.

0.2 Triangulation locus

Applying the above results to the wedge products of refined families, we are able to produce coherent sheaves of crystalline periods. To show that these periods give rise to a global triangulation, we propose the formalism of *triangulation locus* for families of p-adic representations as follows. Let V_X be a family of p-adic representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ over X of rank d. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $\Delta_i : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$ be a continuous character, and let M_i be a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module of rank 1 contained in $(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(\wedge^i V_X))^{\Delta_i}$. We further suppose that each M_i generates a saturated rank 1 (φ,Γ)-submodule in $\wedge^i\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_x)$ for any $x \in X$. We call the set of $x \in X$ at which the images of $M_1, \dots, M_d$ give rise to a triangulation of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_x)$ the *triangulation locus* of V_X with respect to $(M_1, \dots, M_d)$.

To cut out the triangulation locus, we view the associated family of (φ,Γ)-modules as a family of vector bundles over the base. For general bases, it may not be easy to deal with vector bundles over an open annulus. We avoid this difficulty by restricting the family on a closed annulus $v_p(T) \in [r/p, r]$ for some sufficiently small r, and then use a "linear algebra" argument to cut out the triangulation locus, which turns out to be a Zariski closed subspace of the base. We then use the Frobenius to extend the triangulation over the close annulus to a triangulation of the original family of (φ,Γ)-modules. In summary, we prove the following:

Theorem 0.2.1. *(Theorem 4.2.8) The triangulation locus of V_X with respect to $(M_1,\dots,M_d)$ is a Zariski closed subset of X. Furthermore, the coherent sheaves $M_1,\dots,M_d$ give rise to a global triangulation of $\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X)$ on the triangulation locus. That is, for any affinoid subdomain $M(S)$ of the triangulation locus, these M_i's give rise to a triangulation of $\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$ with parameters $(\Delta_i/\Delta_{i-1})_{1\le i\le d}$.*

0.3 Refined families

Now let V_X be a family of refined p-adic representations with a Zariski dense subset of crystalline points Z, Hodge-Tate weights $\kappa_1,\dots,\kappa_d$ and analytic functions $F_1,\dots,F_d$ on X such that $\kappa_1(z) < \cdots < \kappa_d(z)$ and the crystalline Frobenius eigenvalues of V_z are $p^{\kappa_1(z)}F_1(z),\dots,p^{\kappa_d(z)}F_d(z)$ for any $z \in Z$. Furthermore, suppose that for each $1 \le i \le d$, there exists a continuous character $\chi_i : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \to \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$ whose derivative at 1 is the map κ_i and whose evaluation at any $z \in Z$ is the elevation to the $\kappa_i(z)$-th power. Let $\alpha_i = \prod_{j=1}^i F_j$ and $\eta_i = \prod_{j=1}^i \chi_i$, and let $\Delta_i : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$ be the character defined as $\Delta_i(p) = \alpha_i$ and $\Delta_i|_\Gamma = \eta_i$. By Theorem 0.1.3, we get that $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^i V_X))^{\Delta_i}$ is a coherent sheaf on X. We then define the *saturated locus* for V_X as the set of $x \in X$ such that each $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^i V_X))^{\Delta_i}$ is locally free of rank 1 around x, and its image in $\wedge^i\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x)$ generates a saturated rank 1 (φ,Γ)-submodule. Using Theorem 0.1.3 and the aforementioned result of Bellaiche-Chenevier, we show that the saturated locus for V_X is a scheme-theoretically dense subset X_s of X containing all regular noncritical points (Proposition 5.2.9). Furthermore, it is easy to see that regular noncritical points belong to the triangulation locus of V_{X_s}. Since these points forms a Zariski dense subset of X, by Theorem 0.2.1, we get the following:

Theorem 0.3.1. *(Theorem 5.2.10) The subset of saturated points X_s is scheme-theoretically dense in X and contains all regular noncritical points. Furthermore, the triangulation locus for V_{X_s} with respect to*

$$((\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X))^{\Delta_1}|_{X_s},\dots,(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^d V_X))^{\Delta_d}|_{X_s})$$

is X_s itself. As a consequence, the coherent sheaves $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X))^{\Delta_1},\dots,(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^d V_X))^{\Delta_d}$ give rise to a triangulation of $\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X)$ with parameters $(\Delta_i/\Delta_{i-1})_{1\le i\le d}$ on any affinoid subdomain of X_s.

Using a blow-up technique, we also prove:

Theorem 0.3.2. *(Theorem 5.3.1) For any $x \in X$, the p-adic representation V_x is trianguline.*

We note that Eugen Hellmann [13] has obtained results similar to our Theorems 0.3.1 and 0.3.2 using a very different method.

In the case when $X = \mathcal{C}$ is the Coleman-Mazur eigencurve associated to an absolutely irreducible residue representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of tame level 1, we also determine the local behavior at critical points.

Theorem 0.3.3. *(Theorem 5.4.3) Let z be a classical point of weight $k \geq 2$ such that $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V_z)$ has different Frobenius eigenvalues. Then the coherent sheaf $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathcal{C}))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ is locally free of rank 1 around z. Furthermore, if z is noncritical, then it is saturated. If z is critical, suppose that $V_z = V_1 \oplus V_2$ where V_1 and V_2 are characters with Hodge-Tate weights 0 and $k-1$ respectively, then the image of $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathcal{C}))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ (where α is the U_p-eigenvalue) in $\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z) = \mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_1) \oplus \mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_2)$ is $(0, k(z) \cdot t^{k-1}e_2)$ where e_2 is a canonical basis of the rank 1 (φ,Γ)-module $\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_2)$.*

0.4 Structure of the paper

In section 1 we will do some preparation on (φ,Γ)-modules. In [5], although Berger-Colmez construct the object $\mathrm{D}^\dagger(V_S)$ with φ,Γ-actions, they do not quite prove that it is a (φ,Γ)-module. Namely they do not verify that $\mathrm{D}^\dagger(V_S)$ is isomorphic to $\varphi^*(\mathrm{D}^\dagger(V_S))$. We will fill this gap in §1.1. In section 2 we will introduce the relative extended Robba ring. The main result of this section is Lemma 2.2.1. Section 3 is devoted to the construction of finite slope subspace. We will prove Theorems 0.1.2, 0.1.3 and 0.1.4 in §3.3. In section 4 we will introduce the formalism of triangulation locus of families of p-adic representations and prove Theorem 0.2.1. We conclude our main results in section 5. We will prove Theorems 0.3.1, 0.3.2 and 0.3.3 in §5.2, §5.3 and §5.4 respectively.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Joel Bellaiche, Laurent Berger, Gaëtan Chenevier, Pierre Colmez, Matthew Emerton, Kiran S. Kedlaya, Mark Kisin, Fucheng Tan and Liang Xiao for useful communications. Part of this work were done while the author was a visitor at Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research. The author would like to thank their kind hospitality.

Notation and conventions

Let p be a prime number. For any $r > 0$, put $\rho(r) = \frac{p-1}{pr}$. We choose a compatible sequence of p-powers roots of unity $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\geq 0}$, i.e. each $\varepsilon_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is a primitive p^n-th roots of 1, and they satisfy $\varepsilon^p_{n+1} = \varepsilon_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. We fix $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots)$ to be Fontaine's p-adic $2\pi i$. For any finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, let $K_n = K(\varepsilon_n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $K_\infty = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K_n$. Let $H_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K_\infty)$, and let $\Gamma_K = \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$. We denote $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ by Γ for simplicity. Let χ denote the p-adic cyclotomic character. All affinoid algebras are over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and equipped with the spectral norm.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 The (φ,Γ)-module functor

Let S be an affinoid algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. In this subsection, we will review Berger-Colmez's (φ,Γ)-module functor for finite free S-linear representations of G_K. Especially we will prove that the module they construct is really a (φ,Γ)-module in the sense that it is isomorphic to its φ-pullback. We will follow the notation of [5]. For more details about the construction of this functor, we refer the reader to [5] and [17]. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,s}_{K,n}$ denote the subring $\varphi^{-n}(\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,p^n s}_K)$ of $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,s}_K$. The following proposition slightly refines [5, Proposition 4.2.8].

Proposition 1.1.1. *Let T_S be a free $\mathcal{O}_S$-linear representation of G_K of rank d. Then for any $s > 0$, there exists a positive integer $k = k(s)$ such that if L is a finite Galois extension of K such that G_L acts trivially on T_S/p^kT_S, then there exists an integer $n(L) \geq 0$, which is independent of s, such that for any $n \geq n(L)$, $T_S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} (\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S)$ has a unique sub-$\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S$-module $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}(T_S)$ which is free of rank d, is fixed by H_L and stable under G_K, has a basis which is almost invariant under Γ_L (i.e., for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$, the matrix of the action of $\gamma - 1$ on the basis has positive valuation), and satisfies*

$$\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}(T_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S) = T_S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} (\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S)$$

Proof. We follow the proof of [5, Proposition 4.2.8]. Let $r = \rho(s)$. The only point is that the ring $\widetilde{\Lambda} = \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{(0,r]}$ satisfies the Tate-Sen conditions (see [5, Définition 3.1.3] for the definition) with $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{H_L} = \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{(0,r]}_L, \Lambda_{H_L,n} = \varphi^{-n}(\mathbf{A}^{(0,p^nr]}_L), R_{H_L,n} = R_{L,n}$ and $\mathrm{val}_\Lambda = \mathrm{val}^{(0,r]}$. For this, the proof of [5, Proposition 4.2.1] applies. Namely, this is already proved in [10]: (TS1) follows from lemme 10.1, (TS2) follows from corollaire 8.11 and (TS3) follows from proposition 9.9. The proposition then follows immediately from [5, Proposition 3.3.1] and [5, Proposition 3.1.4]. ☐

We set the φ-action on $T_S\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,s}_K$ as the continuous extension of $\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi$. It is then clear that the φ-action commutes with the Γ_K-action and induces a topological isomorphism from $T_S\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,s}_K$ to $T_S\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,ps}_K$.

Corollary 1.1.2. *Keep notations as in Proposition 1.1.1. Then the following are true.*

(1) For any $n \geq n(L)$, we have $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}(T_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n+1}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S) = \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n+1}(T_S)$.

(2) Let $0 < r < s$. Suppose that G_L acts trivially on T_S/p^kT_S for $k = \max\{k(r), k(s)\}$. Then for any $n \geq n(L)$, we have $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,r}_{L,n}(T_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,r}_{L,n}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S) = \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}(T_S)$.

(3) Suppose that G_L acts trivially on T_S/p^kT_S for $k = \max\{k(s), k(ps)\}$. Then for any $n \geq n(L)$, we have

$$\varphi(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}(T_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,ps}_{L,n-1}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,ps}_{L,n}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S) = \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,ps}_{L,n}(T_S).$$

(4) Suppose that G_L acts trivially on T_S/p^kT_S for $k = \max\{k(s), k(ps)\}$. Then for any $n \geq n(L)$, we have $\varphi(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n+1}(T_S)) = \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,ps}_{L,n}(T_S)$.

Proof. For (1), it is clear that $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}(T_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n+1}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S)$ satisfies the characterizing properties of $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n+1}(T_S)$ given by Proposition 1.1.1. Hence it coincides with $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n+1}(T_S)$. We get (2) by a similar reasoning. For (3), since $\varphi(\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S) = \mathbf{A}^{\dagger,ps}_{L,n-1}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S$, we see that $\varphi(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}(T_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,ps}_{L,n-1}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,ps}_{L,n}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S)$ is a sub-$\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,ps}_{L,n}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S$-module of $T_S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} (\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S)$ which is free of rank d and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varphi(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}(T_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,ps}_{L,n-1}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,ps}_{L,n}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,ps}_{L,n}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S)\\
=&\varphi(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}(T_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,ps}_{L,n-1}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S)\\
=&\varphi(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}(T_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,s}_{L,n}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S))\\
=&\varphi(T_S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} (\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S))\\
=&T_S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} (\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since φ and Γ_K commute, we have that $\varphi(\mathrm{D}_{L,n}^{\dagger,s}(T_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_{L,n-1}^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{A}_{L,n}^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S)$ is fixed by H_L and stable under G_K, and has a basis which is almost invariant under Γ_L. Hence $\varphi(\mathrm{D}_{L,n}^{\dagger,s}(T_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_{L,n-1}^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{A}_{L,n}^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S) = \mathrm{D}_{L,n}^{\dagger,ps}(T_S)$ by the uniqueness of $\mathrm{D}_{L,n}^{\dagger,ps}(T_S)$. For (4), using (1) and (3), we have

$$\varphi(\mathrm{D}_{L,n+1}^{\dagger,s}(T_S)) = \varphi(\mathrm{D}_{L,n}^{\dagger,s}(T_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_{L,n-1}^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{A}_{L,n}^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S) = \mathrm{D}_{L,n}^{\dagger,ps}(T_S).$$

□

By the work of Berger-Colmez (see page 15 of [5]), one may take $k(\frac{p-1}{p}) = v_p(12p)$. Now let V_S be a free S-linear G_K-representation of rank d. Choose a free $\mathcal{O}_S$-lattice T_S in V_S. Since the G_K-action is continuous, there exists a finite Galois extension L of K such that G_L carries T_S into itself; hence T_S is G_L-stable. We may further enlarge L so that G_L acts trivially on $T_S/12pT_S$. Although T_S is not necessarily G_K-stable, the sub-S-module $\mathrm{D}_{L,n}^{\dagger,p-1/p}(T_S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} S$ of $V_S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} (\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,p^{n-1}(p-1)}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S)$ is G_K-stable for any $n \geq n(L)$. For any $s \geq p^{n(L)-1}(p-1)$, we set

$$\mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S) = (\varphi^{n(L)}(\mathrm{D}_{L,n(L)}^{\dagger,p-1/p}(T_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_L^{\dagger,p^{n(L)-1}(p-1)}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S))^{H_K}$$

which is equipped with a Γ_K-action. By [5, Proposition 2.2.1] and [5, Lemme 4.2.5], there exists an $s(L/K) > 0$ such that if $s \geq s(L/K)$, then $\mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S)$ is a locally free $\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$-module of rank d. Let $n(V_S) = \max\{n(L), n(s(L/K))\}$, and put $s(V_S) = p^{n(V_S)-1}(p-1)$.

Remark 1.1.3. By Corollary 1.1.2(2) and (4), it is not difficult to see that for any integers n_1, n_2 such that $n(L) \leq n_1, n_2 \leq n(s) - 1$, we have

$$\varphi^{n_1}(\mathrm{D}_{L,n_1}^{\dagger,p-1/p}(T_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_L^{\dagger,p^{n_1-1}(p-1)}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S) = \varphi^{n_2}(\mathrm{D}_{L,n_2}^{\dagger,p-1/p}(T_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_L^{\dagger,p^{n_1-1}(p-1)}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S).$$

Thus one can replace $n(L)$ by any integer n such that $n(L) \leq n \leq n(s) - 1$ in the construction of $\mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S)$.

If $S \to R$ is a map of affinoid algebras, we set $V_R = V_S \otimes_S R$. For any $x \in M(S)$, we denote $V_{k(x)}$ by V_x for simplicity. The following theorem slightly refines [5, Théorème 4.2.9] in the case of affinoid algebras.

Theorem 1.1.4. *For any $s \geq s(V_S)$, the locally free $\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$-module $\mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S)$ is well-defined, i.e. its construction is independent of the choices of T_S and L. Furthermore, it satisfies the following conditions.*

1. *The natural map $\mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S} (\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_K^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S) \to V_S\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_K^{\dagger,s}$ is an isomorphism.*
2. *For any $x \in M(S)$, the natural map $\mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S) \otimes_S k(x) \to \mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_x)$ is an isomorphism.*
3. *The construction is functorial in V_S, and it is compatible with passage from K to a finite extension L, i.e. $\mathrm{D}_L^{\dagger,s}(V_S) = \mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S} (\mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S)$.*
4. *For any $s' \geq s$, we have $\mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s'}(V_S) = \mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S} (\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,s'}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S)$.*

Proof. All the statements of the theorem except (4) are already proved in [17, Theorem 3.11] (which in turn is an easy consequence of [5, Théorème 4.2.9]). For (4), let T_S and L be as above. It follows that

$$\mathrm{D}_L^{\dagger,s}(V_S) = \varphi^{n(L)}(\mathrm{D}_{L,n(L)}^{\dagger,p-1/p}(T_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_L^{\dagger,p^{n(L)-1}(p-1)}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S)$$

for any $s \geq s(V_S)$. This implies that

$$\mathrm{D}_L^{\dagger,s'}(V_S) = \mathrm{D}_L^{\dagger,s}(V_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S} (\mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,s'}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S).$$

Using (3), we get

$$\mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s'}(V_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,s'}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S} \mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,s'}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S = \mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S} (\mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,s'}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S).$$

We conclude by taking the H_K-invariants on both sides. □

From now on, we assume that $s \geq s(V_S)$ unless specified otherwise.

Proposition 1.1.5. *We have* $\varphi(\mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S)) \subset \mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,ps}(V_S)$ *and the natural map*

$$\varphi(\mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S)) \otimes_{\varphi(\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,s})\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S} (\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S) \to \mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,ps}(V_S)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let T_S be a free $\mathcal{O}_S$-lattice of S, and let L be a finite Galois extension of K such that T_S is G_L-stable, and G_L acts trivially on T_S/p^kT_S for $k = \max\{v_p(12p), k(p-1)\}$. Substituting r with $\frac{p-1}{p}$, n with $n(L)$ and taking $\varphi^{n(L)}$ in Corollary 1.1.2(3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi^{n(L)+1}(\mathrm{D}_{L,n(L)}^{\dagger,\frac{p-1}{p}}(T_S)) \otimes_{\varphi(\mathbf{A}_L^{\dagger,p^{n(L)-1}(p-1)})\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{A}_L^{\dagger,p^{n(L)}(p-1)}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S)\\ =&\varphi^{n(L)}(\mathrm{D}_{L,n(L)}^{\dagger,r}(T_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_L^{\dagger,p^{n(L)-1}(p-1)}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{A}_L^{\dagger,p^{n(L)}(p-1)}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S).\end{aligned}$$

Tensoring up the above equality with $\mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$ over $\mathbf{A}_L^{\dagger,p^{n(L)}(p-1)}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S$, we get

$$\varphi(\mathrm{D}_L^{\dagger,s}(V_S)) \otimes_{\varphi(\mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,s})\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S} (\mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S) = \mathrm{D}_L^{\dagger,ps}(V_S).$$

By Theorem 1.1.4(3), we may rewrite the above equality as

$$\varphi(\mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S)) \otimes_{\varphi(\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,s})\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S} (\mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S) = \mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,ps}(V_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S} (\mathbf{B}_L^{\dagger,ps}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S).$$

We conclude by taking the H_K-invariants on both sides. □

We set $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig},K}^{\dagger,s}(V_S) = \mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{rig},K}^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S)$. We put

$$\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S = \cup_{s>0}\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S, \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_K^{\dagger}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S = \cup_{s>0}\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_K^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{rig},K}^{\dagger}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S = \cup_{s>0}\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{rig},K}^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S, \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{rig},K}^{\dagger}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S = \cup_{s>0}\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{rig},K}^{\dagger,s}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S.$$

We then set

$$\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{K}(V_S) = \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{K}(V_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S} (\mathbf{B}^{\dagger}_{K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S) = \cup_{s\geq s(V_S)}\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{K}(V_S)$$

and

$$\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S) = \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S} (\mathbf{B}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S) = \cup_{s\geq s(V_S)}\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S).$$

By Proposition 1.1.5, we see that $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{K}(V_S)$ (resp. $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$) is stable under φ, and the natural map $\varphi^*\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{K}(V_S) \to \mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{K}(V_S)$ (resp. $\varphi^*\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S) \to \mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$) is an isomorphism. Thus $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{K}(V_S)$ is a (φ,Γ)-module over $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger}_{K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$ in the sense of [17]. (See Remark 4.1.6 for the relevant discussion for $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$.)

Remark 1.1.6. In the case when V_S admits a G_K-stable free $\mathcal{O}_S$-lattice T_S, we further have that the (φ,Γ)-module $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{K}(V_S)$ is *globally étale* in the sense of [20]. In fact, if L is a finite Galois extension of K so that G_L acts trivially on $T_S/12pT_S$, then

$$\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}_{K}(T_S) = \cup_{s\geq s(V_S)}(\varphi^{n(L)}(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,\frac{p-1}{p}}_{L,n(L)}(T_S)) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,p^{n(L)-1}(p-1)}_{L}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,s}_{L}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S))^{H_K}$$

is a locally free $\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}_{L}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S$-lattice of $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{K}(V_S)$, and it satisfies

$$\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}_{K}(T_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}_{L}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S,\varphi} (\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}_{L}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_S) = \mathbf{A}^{\dagger}_{K}(T_S).$$

Corollary 1.1.7. *Let* $a \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,ps}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$. *If* $\varphi(a) \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,ps}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$, *then* $a \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$.

Proof. Let T_S be a free $\mathcal{O}_S$-lattice of V_S, and let L be a finite Galois extension of K so that G_L-acts trivially on $T_S/12pT_S$. By its construction we see that $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L}(V_S)$ is a free $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{L}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$-module of rank d. Let $e_1,\dots,e_d$ be a basis, and write $a = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_ie_i$ with $a_i \in \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,ps}_{\mathrm{rig},L}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$. Since $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S) = (\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},L}(V_S))^{H_K}$, it reduces to show that $a \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},L}(V_S)$. By Proposition 1.1.5, we see that $\varphi(e_1),\dots,\varphi(e_d)$ constitutes a $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,ps}_{\mathrm{rig},L}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$-basis of $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L}(V_S)$. Hence $\varphi(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\varphi(a_i)\varphi(e_i)$ belongs to $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,ps}_{\mathrm{rig},L}(V_S)$ if and only if $\varphi(a_i) \in \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,ps}_{\mathrm{rig},L}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$ for all i. The latter is equivalent to $a_i \in \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},L}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$ for all i. This yields the desired result. ☐

1.2 Sheafification of the (φ,Γ)-module functor

Following [17], we can extend the (φ,Γ)-module functor to finite locally free S-linear representations. From now on, let V_S be a locally free S-linear representation of G_K of rank d. We can then choose a finite covering of $M(S)$ by affinoid subdomains $M(S_1),\dots,M(S_n)$ such that V_{S_i} is free over S_i for each i. Let $s(V_S) = \max_{1\leq i\leq n}\{s(V_s)\}$. By [17, Proposition 3.11], for any $s \geq s(V_S)$, these $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{K}(V_{S_i})$'s glue to form a (φ,Γ)-module $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{K}(V_S)$ over $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$; the construction of $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{K}(V_S)$ is independent of the choice of the covering and satisfies the analogues of Theorem 1.1.4. We then set $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$, $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{K}(V_S)$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$ similarly.

Definition 1.2.1. Define the presheaves $\mathscr{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$ and $\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$ on the weak G-topology of $M(S)$ by setting

$$\mathscr{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)(M(S')) = \mathscr{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_{S'}), \quad \mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)(M(S')) = \mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_{S'})$$

for any affinoid subdomain $M(S')$ of $M(S)$.

Proposition 1.2.2. *The presheaves $\mathscr{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$ and $\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$ are sheaves.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\mathscr{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$ is a sheaf. By its construction, it is clear that $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$ is finite locally free over $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$ and functorial in V_S. It therefore reduces to show the proposition in the case that $V_S = S$. Recall that $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K} = \cap_{r>s}\mathbf{B}^{[s,r]}_K$ where $\mathbf{B}^{[s,r]}_K$ is the completion of $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$ with respect to $\mathrm{val}^{(0,s]}$ and $\mathrm{val}^{(0,r]}$. Using a Schauder basis of S, we get

$$\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S = \cap_{r>s}\mathbf{B}^{[s,r]}_K\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S. \tag{1.2.2.1}$$

By (1.2.2.1), it then suffices to show that the presheaf defined by $M(S') \mapsto \mathbf{B}^{[s,r]}_K\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S'$ is a sheaf on the weak G-topology of $M(S)$; this follows from [17, Lemma 3.3]. ☐

Theorem 1.2.3. *The constructions $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S)$ for finite locally free S-linear representations V_S have the same properties as for finite free S-linear representations given in §1.1.*

Proof. We choose a finite covering of $M(S)$ by affinoid subdomains such that the restriction of V_S on each piece is free. The theorem then follows from Proposition 1.2.2. ☐

Definition 1.2.4. Let X be a separated rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let V_X be a locally free coherent $\mathscr{O}_X$-module equipped with a continuous $\mathscr{O}_X$-linear G_K-action. We choose an admissible covering of X by affinoid subdomains $\{M(S_i)\}_{i\in I}$. We then define the sheaf $\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_X)$ on the weak G-topology of X by gluing the sheaves $\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_{S_i})$ for all $i \in I$; this construction is independent of the choice of the covering $\{M(S_i)\}_{i\in I}$.

1.3 Localization maps

We equip $K_n[[t]]$ with the induced Fréchet topology via the identification $K_n[[t]] \cong K_n^{\mathbb{N}}$. We set $K_n((t))\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$ as the inductive limit of $(t^{-i}K_n[[t]])\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$. For any integer $n \geq n(s)$, the localization map $\iota_n : \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K} \to K_n[[t]]$ induces a continuous map $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S \to K_n[[t]]\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$. We set

$$\mathrm{D}^{K_n,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S) = \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S,\iota_n} (K_n[[t]]\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S)$$

and

$$\mathrm{D}^{K_n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S) = \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S,\iota_n} (K_n((t))\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S);$$

it is clear that $\mathrm{D}^{K_n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S) = \mathrm{D}^{K_n,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)[1/t]$. We denote by ι_n the natural map $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_S) \to \mathrm{D}^{K_n,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)$, and call it the *localization map*. We set $\mathrm{D}^{K_n}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S) = \mathrm{D}^{K_n,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t)$. Finally, we set

$$\mathrm{D}^{K,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S) = \cup_{n\geq n(s)}\mathrm{D}^{K_n,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S), \quad \mathrm{D}^{K}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S) = \cup_{n\geq n(s)}\mathrm{D}^{K_n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S), \quad \mathrm{D}^{K}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S) = \cup_{n\geq n(s)}\mathrm{D}^{K_n}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S).$$

Convention 1.3.1. When the base field K is clear, we drop the symbol K in all of these notations for simplicity.

Lemma 1.3.2. *Let $a \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$ and $\alpha \in S$. If $\varphi(a) - \alpha a \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,ps}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$, then $a \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$.*

Proof. Put $b = \varphi(a) - \alpha a$. Suppose that $a \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s'}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$ for some s'. If $s' > s$, we get $\varphi(a) = b + \alpha a \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s'}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$. It follows from Corollary 1.1.7 and Theorem 1.2.3 that $a \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s''}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$ for $s'' = \max\{s'/p, s\}$. We then conclude $a \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$ by iterating this argument. $\square$

Let $q = \varphi([\varepsilon]-1)/([\varepsilon]-1)$, where $[\varepsilon]$ is Fontaine's p-adic $\exp(2\pi i)$. The following proposition is a generalization of [19, Theorem 4.3].

Proposition 1.3.3. *Let k be a positive integer. The following are true.*

(1) The localization map $\iota_n : \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S) \to \mathrm{D}^{n,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)/((\varphi^{n-1}(q))^k) \cong \mathrm{D}^{n,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k).$$

(2) The natural map $\prod_{n\geq n(s)} \iota_n : \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S) \to \prod_{n\geq n(s)} \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)/(t^k) \cong \prod_{n\geq n(s)} \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k).$$

(3) The natural map $\varphi : \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)/(t^k) \to \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,ps}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)/(t^k)$ is given by $((a_n)_{n\geq n(s)}) \to ((a_{n-1})_{n\geq n(s)+1})$ under the isomorphism of (2)*,*

Proof. For (1) and (2), since $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$ is a finite locally free $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$-module, it reduces to show that

$$\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S/((\varphi^{n-1}(q))^k) \cong K_n[[t]]\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S/(t^k) \tag{1.3.3.1}$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S/(t^k) \cong \prod_{n\geq n(s)} K_n[[t]]\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S/(t^k). \tag{1.3.3.2}$$

We first show them for $S = \mathbb{Q}_p$. By [3, Proposition 4.8], we see that for $f \in \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$, $t|\iota_n(f)$ if and only if $\varphi^{n-1}(q)|f$. Note that $t||\iota_n(\varphi^{n-1}(q))$. We thus deduce that the map $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}/((\varphi^{n-1}(q))^k) \to K_n[[t]]/(t^k)$ is injective. Furthermore, it is an isomorphism for $k=1$ by [3, lemme 4.9]. Hence it is an isomorphism for any k by comparing K_n-dimensions on both sides. Since $t = \prod_{n\geq n(s)}(\varphi^{n-1}(q)/p)$ in $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$, we further get

$$\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}/(t^k) \cong \prod_{n\geq n(s)} \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}/(\varphi^{n-1}(q)) \cong \prod_{n\geq n(s)} K_n[[t]]/(t^k).$$

Since $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}/((\varphi^{n-1}(q))^k) \cong K_n[[t]]/(t^k)$ is a complete Fréchet space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, by Hahn-Banach theorem for Fréchet type spaces over discretely valued fields, we get that the exact sequence

$$0 \to (\varphi^{n-1}(q))^k\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K} \to \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K} \to \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}/((\varphi^{n-1}(q))^k) \to 0$$

splits as complete Fréchet spaces over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. This yields the exact sequence

$$0 \to (\varphi^{n-1}(q))^k\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S \to \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S \to (\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}/((\varphi^{n-1}(q))^k))\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S \to 0;$$

hence

$$\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S/((\varphi^{n-1}(q))^k) \cong (\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}/((\varphi^{n-1}(q))^k))\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S \cong K_n[[t]]/(t^k)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S \cong K_n[[t]]\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S/(t^k),$$

yielding (1.3.3.1). We get (1.3.3.2) by a similar argument. We get (3) immediately from the fact that $\iota_{n+1}\circ\varphi = \iota_n$ for all $n \geq n(s)$. $\square$

1.4 The sheaf $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K}$

Definition 1.4.1. Define the presheaves $\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)$ and $\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k)$ on the weak G-topology of $M(S)$ by setting

$$(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S))(M(S')) = \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{S'}), \quad (\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))(M(S')) = \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{S'})/(t^k)$$

for any affinoid subdomain $M(S')$ of $M(S)$. Define the presheaves

$$\mathscr{D}^{+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S) = \varinjlim_{n\to\infty} \mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{D}^{+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k) = \varinjlim_{n\to\infty} \mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k).$$

Proposition 1.4.2. *The presheaves $\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)$ and $\mathscr{D}^{+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)$ are sheaves.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)$ is a sheaf. Note that $K_n[[t]]\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S = (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[[t]]$. Hence the proposition is true for $V_S = S$ (with trivial G_K-action). This yields the general case since $\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)$ is functorial in V_S and $\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)$ is a finite locally free $K_n[[t]]\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$-module. ☐

Convention 1.4.3. Let X be a rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let G be a group, and let M be a presheaf on X equipped with a G-action. We denote by M^G the presheaf on X defined by $M^G(U) = M(U)^G$ for any admissible open subset U of $M(S)$.

Lemma 1.4.4. *Let G be a topologically finitely generated group. Let A be a commutative topological ring, and let M be a finite A-module equipped with a continuous A-linear G-action. Suppose that B is a commutative topological ring with a continuous flat morphism $A \to B$. Then $(M \otimes_A B)^G = M^G \otimes_A B$.*

Proof. Choose a finite set of topological generators $g_1, \dots, g_m$ of G. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M^G \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^m M$$

where the last map is $\oplus_{i=1}^m (g_i - 1)$. Since B is flat over A, tensoring up this exact sequence with B, we get

$$0 \longrightarrow M^G \otimes_A B \longrightarrow M \otimes_A B \longrightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^m M \otimes_A B.$$

This yields the lemma. ☐

Proposition 1.4.5. *The following are true.*

(1) The presheaf $\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k)$ is a locally free coherent sheaf on $M(S)$.

(2) The presheaf $((\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K}$ is a coherent sheaf on $M(S)$.

(3) The increasing sequence of presheaves $\{(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K}\}_n$ is stationary. As a consequence of (2), we have that $((\mathscr{D}^{+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K}$ is also a coherent sheaf on $M(S)$.

Proof. For (1), note that $\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k)$ is a finite locally free $(K_n[[t]]\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S)/(t^k) = K_n[[t]]/(t^k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$-module; hence it is a finite locally free S-module. The coherent sheaf condition then follows from the functoriality of $\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)$. Thus $\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k)$ is a locally free coherent sheaf on $M(S)$. For (2), it reduces to show that

$$(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K} \otimes_S S' = (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{S'})/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K} \tag{1.4.5.1}$$

for any affinoid subdomain $M(S')$ of $M(S)$. Since S' is flat over S, we deduce (2) from the above lemma.

It remains to prove (3). Let N_n be the abelian group of finite order characters $\eta : \mathbb{Z}_p^* \to \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ with conductor $N(\eta) \leq n$ ($N(\eta) = 0$ if $\eta = 1$; otherwise it is the smallest integer n such that η is trivial on $1 + p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$). For any $\eta \in N_n$, we associate it with the Gauss sum $G(\eta)$ by setting $G(\eta) = 1$ if $\eta = 1$,

$$G(\eta) = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{N(\eta)}\mathbb{Z})^*} \eta(x)\varepsilon_{N(\eta)}^x \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\varepsilon_{N(\eta)})^\times$$

otherwise. A short computation (see for instance [10, Proposition 2.14]) shows that $\gamma(G(\eta)) = \eta^{-1}(\chi(\gamma))G(\eta)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and that

$$\mathbb{Q}_p(\varepsilon_n) = \oplus_{\eta \in N_n} \mathbb{Q}_p \cdot G(\eta) \tag{1.4.5.2}$$

as Γ-modules. Furthermore, we see that $G(\eta_1\eta_2) = G(\eta_1)G(\eta_2)$ for any η_1, η_2. We thus deduce from (1.4.5.2) that

$$\mathbb{Q}_p(\varepsilon_m) = \oplus_{\eta \in N_m/N_n} \mathbb{Q}_p(\varepsilon_n) \cdot G(\eta) \tag{1.4.5.3}$$

as Γ-modules for any $m \geq n$. Note that there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[K_n : K_{n_0}] = [\mathbb{Q}_p(\varepsilon_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p(\varepsilon_{n_0})]$ for all $n \geq n_0$; hence $K_n = K_{n_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\varepsilon_{n_0})} \mathbb{Q}_p(\varepsilon_n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. We fix such an n, and let $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_l$ be a set of representatives of N_n/N_{n_0}. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_S)/(t^k) =& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n_0}(V_S)/(t^k) \otimes_{K_{n_0}[[t]]/(t^k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n[[t]]/(t^k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S) \\ =& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n_0}(V_S)/(t^k) \otimes_{K_{n_0}} K_n \\ =& \oplus_{i=1}^l G(\eta_i) \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n_0}(V_S)/(t^k) \end{aligned} \tag{1.4.5.4}$$

as Γ_K-modules by (1.4.5.3). For $a \in (\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K}$, write $a = \sum_{i=1}^l G(\eta_i)a_i$ under the decomposition (1.4.5.4). The Γ_K-invariance of a and the uniqueness of the decomposition implies that $\gamma(a_i) = \eta_i(\chi(\gamma))a_i$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$. We may enlarge n_0 so that $1 + p^{n_0}\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \chi(\Gamma_K)$. It follows that the restrictions of $\eta_i \circ \chi$ on Γ_K are two by two different. Thus a_i's belong to different eigenspace of Γ_K. It therefore follows that $\sum_{i=1}^l a_i = 0$ if and only if each a_i is 0. This implies that the map $a \mapsto \sum_{i=1} a_i$ from $(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K}$ to $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n_0}(V_S)/(t^k)$ is injective. Since $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n_0}(V_S)/(t^k)$ is a finite S-module, we see that the increasing sequence of S-modules $\{(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K}\}_n$ is stationary. This yields (3). □

Definition 1.4.6. Let X be a separated rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let V_X be a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module equipped with a continuous $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear G_K-action. We choose an admissible covering of X by affinoid subdomains $\{M(S_i)\}_{i \in I}$. We then define the sheaf $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^+(V_X)$ (resp. $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^+(V_X)/(t^k)$, $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_X)$) on the weak G-topology of X by gluing the sheaves $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^+(V_{S_i})$ (resp. $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^+(V_{S_i})/(t^k)$, $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_{S_i})$) for all $i \in I$; this construction is independent of the choice of the covering $\{M(S_i)\}_{i \in I}$.

1.5 Sen's operator

Let V_S be a free S-linear representation of G_K of rank d. Let T_S and L be as in the construction of $\mathrm{D}_K^{\dagger,s}(V_S)$, and let $n \geq n(V_S)$. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$ satisfying $n(\gamma) \geq n$, it acts L_n-linearly on $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}^{L_n}(V_S)$. By its construction, we may choose some L_n-basis of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}^{L_n}(V_S)$ which is almost Γ_L-invariant; thus the matrix M_γ of γ under this basis satisfies $|M_\gamma - 1| < 1$. We define $\log\gamma \in \mathrm{End}_{L_n\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S}(\mathrm{D}^{L_n}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))$ by setting

$$\log\gamma = -\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{(1-\gamma)^m}{m}.$$

The convergence of the right hand side follows from the condition $|M_\gamma - 1| < 1$. Since Γ_L is a 1-dimensional p-adic Lie group, the operator $(\log\gamma)/\chi(\gamma) \in \mathrm{End}_{L_n\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S}(\mathrm{D}^{L_n}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))$ is independent of the choice of γ; hence it is well-defined. Note that $\mathrm{D}^{L_n}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S) = \mathrm{D}^{K_n}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)\otimes_{K_n} L_n$ and γ carries $\mathrm{D}^{K_n}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)$ into itself. Hence $(\log\gamma)/\chi(\gamma) \in \mathrm{End}_{K_n\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S}(\mathrm{D}^{K_n}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))$. Furthermore, since Γ_K is commutative, we see that $(\log\gamma)/\chi(\gamma)$ commutes with Γ_K; hence its characteristic polynomial has coefficients in $K\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$.

Definition 1.5.1. Let X be a separated rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let V_X be a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module equipped with a continuous $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear G_K-action. We choose an admissible covering of X by affinoid subdomains $\{M(S_i)\}_{i\in I}$ such that V_{S_i} is free for each $i\in I$. By the above discussion, the operator $(\log\gamma)/\chi(\gamma)$ is well-defined on each $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_{S_i})$; hence it is well-defined on $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_X)$. We set $\Theta = (\log\gamma)/\chi(\gamma) \in \mathrm{End}_{K_\infty\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_X))$, and call it *Sen's operator* for V_X. We glue the characteristic polynomials of Θ on each $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_{S_i})$ to form a polynomial in $(K_\infty\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathcal{O}(X))[T]$, and called it *Sen's polynomial* for V_X. Since Θ commutes with the Γ-action, we see that the Sen's polynomial has coefficients in $K\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathcal{O}(X)$.

The rest of this subsection is a (φ,Γ)-module interpretation of [18, (2.3)-(2.6)].

Proposition 1.5.2. *Let V_S be a finite free S-linear representation. Then for any $n \geq n(V_S)$, both $H^0(\Gamma_K, \mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))$ and $H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))$ are killed by* $\det(\Theta)$.

Proof. Let L,γ be as above. Note that both $H^0(\Gamma_L, \mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))$ and $H^1(\Gamma_L, \mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))$ are killed by $\gamma-1$. It follows that both of them are killed by Θ; hence both of them are killed by $\det(\Theta)$. This yields the desired result since $H^0(\Gamma_K, \mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)) \subseteq H^0(\Gamma_L, \mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))$ and $H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))$ is a quotient of $H^1(\Gamma_L, \mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))$. ☐

From now on, let V_S be only locally free over S.

Corollary 1.5.3. *For any $k\geq 1$ and $n \geq n(V_S)$, the natural map*

$$(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K} \to (\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))^{\Gamma_K}$$

has kernel and cokernel killed by $\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\det(\Theta + iI)$.

Proof. Since $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K}$ and $(\mathscr{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))^{\Gamma_K}$ are coherent sheaves, by restricting on a finite covering of $M(S)$, it suffices to treat the case that V_S is free over S. It then suffices to show that the natural map

$$(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^{i+1}))^{\Gamma_K} \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^i))^{\Gamma_K}$$

has kernel and cokernel killed by $\det(\Theta + iI)$ for each $i\geq 1$. By the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S(i)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^{i+1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^i) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get the exact sequence

$$0 \to (\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S(i)))^{\Gamma_K} \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^{i+1}))^{\Gamma_K} \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^i))^{\Gamma_K} \to H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S(i))).$$

We thus conclude from Proposition 1.5.2 and the fact that Sen's operator for $V_S(i)$ is $\Theta + iI$. ☐

Proposition 1.5.4. *Keep notations as above. Then there exists a finite Galois extension L' of K containing L such that $\Theta/(\gamma' - 1)$ is invertible on $\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))$ for any $\gamma' \in \Gamma_{L'}$.*

Proof. It suffices to treat the case that V_S is free. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$ such that $n(\gamma) \geq n$. Since γ acts L_n-linearly on $\mathrm{D}^{L_n}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)$, for any positive integer k, the matrix $M_{\gamma^{p^k}}$ of γ^{p^k} is just $(M_\gamma)^{p^k}$. Thus we may choose a sufficiently large k so that, under an almost-Γ_L-invariant L_n-basis of $\mathrm{D}^{L_n}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)$, the matrix $M_{\gamma^{p^k}}$ of γ^{p^k} satisfies $|M_{\gamma^{p^k}} - 1| < p^{-1}$. Let L' be a finite Galois extension of K such that $\Gamma_{L'} \subseteq \langle \gamma^{p^k} \rangle$. It follows that $|(M_{\gamma'} - 1)^m/(m+1)| < 1$ for any $\gamma' \in \Gamma_{L'}$ and $m \geq 1$. Let $u = \sum_{m \geq 0} (1 - \gamma')^m/(m+1)$. Then the matrix of $u - 1$ has positive valuation, yielding that u is invertible. Hence $\Theta/(\gamma' - 1) = \chi(\gamma)^{-1} u$ is invertible. ☐

Now we suppose that $\det(\Theta) = 0$, and we write $\det(TI - \Theta) = TQ(T)$ for some $Q(T) \in (K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[T]$. Put $P(i) = \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} Q(-j)$ for every integer $i \geq 1$.

Proposition 1.5.5. *If $f : S \to R$ is a map of affinoid algebras, for each $n \geq n(V_S)$, the natural map*

$$(\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))^{\Gamma_K} \otimes_S R \to (\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R))^{\Gamma_K} \tag{1.5.5.1}$$

has kernel and cokernel killed by a power of $f(Q(0))$. In particular, if $f(Q(0))$ is a unit, this map is an isomorphism.

Proof. Write $Q(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i T^i$. First note that $Q(\Theta)\Theta = 0$ in $\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))$ by Cayley's theorem. Hence

$$\Theta(\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)) \subseteq \ker(Q(\Theta)|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)) \quad \text{and} \quad Q(\Theta)(\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)) \subseteq \ker(\Theta|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)).$$

By the equality $a_0 = Q(\Theta) - \Theta(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i \Theta)$, we deduce that both the kernel and cokernel of the natural map

$$\ker(\Theta|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)) \oplus \ker(Q(\Theta)|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)$$

are killed by $a_0 = Q(0)$. Hence the natural map

$$(\ker(\Theta|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)))_{a_0} \oplus (\ker(Q(\Theta)|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)))_{a_0} \longrightarrow (\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))_{a_0} \tag{1.5.5.2}$$

is an isomorphism. By the same reasoning, the natural map

$$(\ker(\Theta|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R)))_{f(a_0)} \oplus (\ker(Q(\Theta)|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R)))_{f(a_0)} \longrightarrow (\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R))_{f(a_0)}$$

is also an isomorphism. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\ker(\Theta|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)) \otimes_S R_{f(a_0)} \oplus \ker(Q(\Theta)|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)) \otimes_S R_{f(a_0)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S) \otimes_S R_{f(a_0)} \arrow[d] \\
(\ker(\Theta|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R)))_{f(a_0)} \oplus (\ker(Q(\Theta)|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R)))_{f(a_0)} \arrow[r] & (\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R))_{f(a_0)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the upper map, which is obtained by tensoring up (1.5.5.2) with R over S, is an isomorphism. Note that the right map is an isomorphism because $\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(\cdot)$ is functorial in V_S. We thus deduce that both the natural maps

$$\ker(\Theta|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)) \otimes_S R_{f(a_0)} \to (\ker(\Theta|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R)))_{f(a_0)}$$

and

$$\ker(Q(\Theta)|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)) \otimes_S R_{f(a_0)} \to (\ker(Q(\Theta)|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R)))_{f(a_0)}$$

are isomorphisms. Let L' be a finite Galois extension of K given by Proposition 1.5.4. It then follows from Proposition 1.5.4 that $\ker(\Theta|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S)) = (\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))^{\Gamma_{L'_n}}$ and $\ker(\Theta|\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R)) = (\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R))^{\Gamma_{L'_n}}$. Note that $(\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))^{\Gamma_K}$ (resp. $(\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R))^{\Gamma_K}$) is the image of the endomorphism $a \mapsto \sum_{g\in\Gamma_K/\Gamma_{L'_n}} ga$ on $(\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))^{\Gamma_{L'_n}}$ (resp. $(\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R))^{\Gamma_{L'_n}}$). We conclude immediately that the natural map

$$(\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))^{\Gamma_K} \otimes_S R_{f(a_0)} \longrightarrow (\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R))^{\Gamma_K}_{f(a_0)}$$

is an isomorphism. □

Corollary 1.5.6. *If $f : S \to R$ is a map of affinoid algebras, for each $n \geq n(V_S)$, the natural map*

$$(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K} \otimes_S R \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K}$$

has kernel and cokernel killed by a power of $f(P(k))$. In particular, if $f(P(k))$ is a unit, this map is an isomorphism.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K} \otimes_S R_{f(P(k))} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R)/(t^k))^{\Gamma_K}_{f(P(k))} \arrow[d] \\
(\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_S))^{\Gamma_K} \otimes_S R_{f(P(k))} \arrow[r] & (\mathrm{D}^n_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_R))^{\Gamma_K}_{f(P(k))}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The bottom map is an isomorphism by Proposition 1.5.5. The left map and right map are isomorphisms by Corollary 1.5.3. Hence the upper map is an isomorphism; this yields the desired result. □

2 The extended Robba ring

2.1 Definitions

Let B be a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-Banach algebra with $|B|$ discrete. Set $v(x) = -\log_p(|x|)$ for any $x \in B$.

Definition 2.1.1. For any interval $I \subseteq (0,\infty]$, let $\mathcal{R}^I_B$ be the ring of Laurent series

$$f = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_i T^i$$

for which $a_i \in B$ and $v(a_i) + si \to \infty$ as $i \to \pm\infty$ for all $s \in I$. For any $s \in I$, define $w_s : \mathcal{R}^I_B \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$w_s(f) = \min_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\{v(a_i) + si\}$$

and the norm $|\cdot|_s$ on $\mathcal{R}^I_B$ as

$$|f|_s = \max_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\{|a_i|p^{-si}\} = p^{-w_s(f)}.$$

We denote $\mathcal{R}_B^{(0,r]}$ by $\mathcal{R}_B^r$ for simplicity. Let $\mathcal{R}_B^{\mathrm{bd},r}$ be the subring of $\mathcal{R}_B^r$ consisting of elements with $\{v(a_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ bounded below. Define $w : \mathcal{R}_B^{\mathrm{bd},r} \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$w(f) = \min_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\{v(a_i)\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{R}_B^{\mathrm{int},r}$ be the subring of $\mathcal{R}_S^{\mathrm{bd},r}$ consisting of f with $w(f) \geq 0$. We call $\mathcal{R}_B = \cup_{r>0}\mathcal{R}_B^r$ the *Robba ring over* B, and $\mathcal{R}_B^{\mathrm{bd}} = \cup_{r>0}\mathcal{R}_B^r$ the *bounded Robba ring over* B.

Definition 2.1.2. For any interval $I \subseteq (0,\infty]$, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^I$ be the set of formal sums

$$f = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} a_i u^i$$

with $a_i \in B$ satisfying the following conditions.

(1) For any $c > 0$, the set of $i \in \mathbb{Q}$ so that $|a_i| \geq c$ is well-ordered (i.e. has no infinite decreasing subsequence).

(2) For all $s \in I$, $v(a_i) + si \to \infty$ as $i \to \pm\infty$, and $\inf_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}\{v(a_i) + si\} > -\infty$.

These series form a ring under formal series addition and multiplication. For any $s \in I$, set $w_s : \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^I \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$w_s(f) = \inf_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}\{v(a_i) + si\}$$

and the norm $|f|_s$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^I$ as

$$|f|_s = \sup_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}\{|a_i|p^{-si}\} = p^{-w_s(f)}.$$

We denote $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^{(0,r]}$ by $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^r$ for simplicity. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^{\mathrm{bd},r}$ be the subring of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^r$ consisting of elements f with $\{v(a_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}$ bounded below. Define $w : \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^{\mathrm{bd},r} \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$w(f) = \min_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}\{v(a_i)\}.$$

We call $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B = \cup_{r>0}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^r$ the *extended Robba ring over* B, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^{\mathrm{bd}} = \cup_{r>0}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^r$ the *extended bounded Robba ring over* B.

Remark 2.1.3. Since $|B|$ is discrete, it follows from condition (1) that $\inf_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}\{v(a_i) + si\}$ (hence also $\sup_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}\{|a_i|p^{-si}\}$) is attained at some $i \in \mathbb{Q}$.

We equip $\mathcal{R}_B^I$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^I$) with the Fréchet topology defined by $\{w_s\}_{s\in I}$; then $\mathcal{R}_B^I$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^I$) is a complete Fréchet algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Furthermore, in the case that $I = [a,b]$ is a closed interval, $\mathcal{R}_B^I$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^I$) is a Banach algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with the norm $\max\{w_a, w_b\}$. We equip $\mathcal{R}_B^{\mathrm{bd},r}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^{\mathrm{bd},r}$) with the norm $\max\{w, w_r\}$; then $\mathcal{R}_B^{\mathrm{bd},r}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B^{\mathrm{bd},r}$) is a Banach algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Definition 2.1.4. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_B$ be the ring of formal sums $f = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} a_i u^i$ with $a_i \in B$ satisfying the following conditions.

(1) For each $c > 0$, the set of $i \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $|a_i| \geq c$ is well-ordered.

(2) The set $\{v(a_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}$ is bounded below and $v(a_i)\to\infty$ as $i\to-\infty$.

Set $w:\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_B\to\mathbb{R}$ as

$$w(f)=\min_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}\{v(a_i)\}.$$

We equip $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_B$ with the topology defined by w; then $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_B$ is complete for this topoology.

Let L be a p-adic field with discrete valuation, and put $B_L=L\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}B$.

Proposition 2.1.5. *For $R\in\{\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{bd},r},\mathcal{R}^I\}$ and $\widetilde{R}\in\{\widetilde{\mathcal{E}},\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{bd},r},\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^I\}$ where $I\subset(0,\infty]$ is a closed interval, the natural maps*

$$i:R_L\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}B\to R_{B_L},\quad \widetilde{i}:\widetilde{R}_L\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}B\to\widetilde{R}_{B_L}$$

are embeddings of L-Banach algebras. For $R=\mathcal{R}^r$ and $\widetilde{R}=\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r$, the natural maps

$$i:R_L\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}B\to R_{B_L},\quad \widetilde{i}:\widetilde{R}_L\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}B\to\widetilde{R}_{B_L}$$

are embeddings of L-Fréchet spaces. Furthermore, i has dense image for all $R\in\{\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{bd},r},\mathcal{R}^I,\mathcal{R}^r\}$. Hence i induces an isometric isomorphism $R_L\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}B\cong R_{B_L}$, and $\widetilde{i}$ induces an isometric embedding $\widetilde{R}_L\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}B\hookrightarrow\widetilde{R}_{B_L}$.

Proof. This is [20, Lemma 2.1.6]. ☐

Proposition 2.1.6. *If B is of countable type, then*

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_L\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}B)\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{bd},r}_{B_L}=\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{bd},r}_L\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}B.$$

Proof. This follows from [20, Lemma 2.1.8] by taking $S=\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_L$. ☐

Lemma 2.1.7. *Let S be an affinoid algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Then for any $x\in M(S)$, the natural map $\mathcal{R}^r_S\otimes_S k(x)\to\mathcal{R}^r_{k(x)}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. It reduces to show that the natural map $\rho_x:\mathcal{R}^r_S\to\mathcal{R}^r_{k(x)}$ is surjective and its kernel is $\mathfrak{m}_x\mathcal{R}^r_S$. By Hahn-Banach theorem for Banach spaces over discretely valued fields, the exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\mathfrak{m}_x\longrightarrow S\longrightarrow k(x)\longrightarrow 0$$

splits as $\mathbb{Q}_p$-Banach spaces. This yields the exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\mathcal{R}^r_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathfrak{m}_x\longrightarrow\mathcal{R}^r_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S\longrightarrow\mathcal{R}^r_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}k(x)\longrightarrow 0.$$

Using Proposition 2.1.5, we get that ρ_x is surjective. Choose a finite set of generators $b_1,\dots,b_m$ of $\mathfrak{m}_x$. By the open mapping theorem for Banach spaces over discretely valued fields, the surjective map of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-Banach spaces $S^m\to\mathfrak{m}_x$ defined by $(a_1,\dots,a_m)\mapsto\sum_{i=1}^m a_ib_i$ is open. Hence there exists $c>0$ such that for any $a\in\mathfrak{m}_x$, there exist $a_1,\dots,a_m\in S$ with $|a_i|\le c|a|$ such that $a=\sum_{i=1}^m a_ib_i$. Now let $f=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}a_iu^i$ belongs to kernel of ρ_x; so $a_i\in\mathfrak{m}_x$ for all i. For each $i\in\mathbb{Q}$, choose $a_{ij}\in S$ with $|a_{ij}|\le c|a_i|$ for $1\le j\le m$ such that $a_i=\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}b_j$. Let $f_j=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}a_{ij}u^i$ for $1\le j\le m$. It is then clear that $f_j\in\mathcal{R}^r_S$ and $f=\sum_{j=1}^m b_jf_j$; hence $f\in\mathfrak{m}_x\mathcal{R}^r_S$. ☐

2.2 Key lemma

From now on, suppose that L is equipped with an isometric automorphism φ_L such that its restriction on $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the identity. We denote by φ the continuous extension of $\varphi_L \otimes \mathrm{id}$ on S_L. We extend φ to automorphisms on $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{S_L}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{S_L}$ by setting

$$\varphi(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} a_i u^i) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} \varphi(a_i) u^{pi}.$$

It is obvious that φ restricts to automorphisms on $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$.

Let $\alpha \in S^\times$, consider the following Frobenius equation

$$\varphi(b) - \alpha b = a. \tag{2.2.0.1}$$

The following is a variant of [20, Lemma 2.3.5(3)].

Lemma 2.2.1. *Suppose $|\alpha^{-1}| < 1$. Then for $a = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} a_i u^i \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_{S_L}$, the following are true.*

(1) (2.2.0.1) admits at most one solution $b \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{S_L}$.

(2) (2.2.0.1) has a solution $b \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{S_L}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha^{-(m+1)} \varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}}) = 0 \tag{2.2.1.1}$$

for all $i < 0$. Furthermore, in this case the unique solution b is given by

$$b = -\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} (\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha^{-(m+1)} \varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}})) u^i$$

and belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{pr}_{S_L}$, and it satisfies $w_r(b) \geq w_r(a) - C(r,\alpha)$ where $C(r,\alpha)$ is some constant only depending on r, α.

(3) Suppose $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$. If $b \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{S_L}$ is a solution of (2.2.1), then $b \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$.

Proof. Suppose that $b = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} b_i u^i \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{r'}_{S_L}$ is a solution of (2.2.0.1). By comparing coefficients, we get

$$\varphi(b_{i/p}) - \alpha b_i = a_i,$$

yielding

$$b_i = \alpha^{-1} \varphi(b_{i/p}) - \alpha^{-1} a_i \tag{2.2.1.2}$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Since $|\alpha^{-1}| < 1$ and $\{|a_{ip^{-m}}|\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ are bounded, we get

$$b_i = -\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha^{-(m+1)} \varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}})$$

by iterating (2.2.1.2). Thus b_i is uniquely determined by α and a. This proves (1). Furthermore, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\varphi^k(\sum_{m=-k}^{\infty} \alpha^{-(m+1)} \varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}})) = \alpha^k \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-(m+1)} \varphi^m(a_{(ip^k)p^{-m}}) = -\alpha^k b_{ip^k}.$$

Hence

$$v(\sum_{m=-k}^{\infty}\alpha^{-(m+1)}\varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}}))=v(\alpha^k b_{ip^k})\geq kv(\alpha)+v(b_{ip^k})\geq kv(\alpha)+w_{r'}(b)-r'ip^k.$$

It follows that if $i<0$, $v(\sum_{m=-k}^{\infty}\alpha^{-(m+1)}\varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}}))\to\infty$ as $k\to\infty$; this yields (2.2.1.1), proving the "only if" part of (2).

To prove the "if" part of (2), for $f=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}a_iu^i\in\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_{S_L}$ and $c\in\mathbb{R}$, we set

$$w_r^{c,-}(f)=\min_{i\leq c}\{v(a_i)+ri\}.$$

It is clear that $w_r^{c,-}(f)\to\infty$ as $c\to-\infty$. Now suppose that (2.2.1.1) holds for all $i<0$. If $i\leq-1$, then for each $m\leq-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}v(\alpha^{-(m+1)}\varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}}))&\geq v(a_{ip^{-m}})-(m+1)v(\alpha)=(v(a_{ip^{-m}})+rip^{-m})-rip^{-m}-(m+1)v(\alpha)\\&\geq(w_r^{i,-}(a)-ri)+ri(1-p^{-m})-(m+1)v(\alpha)\geq w_r^{i,-}(a)-ri-C_1(r,\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_1(r,\alpha)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}w_r((\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\alpha^{-(m+1)}\varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}}))u^i)&=v(-\sum_{m=-1}^{-\infty}\alpha^{-(m+1)}\varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}}))+ri\\&\geq w_r^{i,-}(a)-C_1(r,\alpha)\end{aligned}\tag{2.2.1.3}$$

for each $i\leq-1$. If $i>-1$, for any $m\geq0$,

$$v(\alpha^{-(m+1)}\varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}}))\geq w_r(a)-rip^{-m}+v(\alpha^{-1})(m+1)\geq(w_r(a)-ri)-C_2(r,\alpha)$$

for some constant $C_2(r,\alpha)$ because $v(\alpha^{-1})>0$. Hence

$$w_r((\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\alpha^{-(m+1)}\varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}}))u^i)\geq w_r(a)-C_2(r,\alpha)\tag{2.2.1.4}$$

for all $i>-1$. Put

$$a^+=\sum_{i>0}a_iu^i,\qquad a^-=\sum_{i\leq0}a_iu^i$$

and

$$b^+=-\sum_{i>0}(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\alpha^{-(m+1)}\varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}}))u^i,\quad b^-=-\sum_{i\leq0}(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\alpha^{-(m+1)}\varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}}))u^i.$$

Since $|\alpha^{-1}|<1$, we see that the series $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\alpha^{-(m+1)}\varphi^m(a^+)$ is convergent in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_{S_L}$. Computing the coefficients of the sum, we get $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\alpha^{-(m+1)}\varphi^m(x^+)=-b^+$. We claim that b^- also belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_{S_L}$. It follows from (2.2.1.3), (2.2.1.4) that b^- satisfies (2) of Definition 2.1.2. On the other hand, since $|\alpha^{-1}|<1$, it is clear that the series $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\alpha^{-(m+1)}\varphi^m(a^-)$ is convergent to $-b^-$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{S_L}$; hence b^- satisfies (1) of Definition 2.1.2, yielding the claim. Now put $b=b^++b^-\in\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_{S_L}$. It is then clear that b is the solution of (2.2.0.1). By (2.2.1.3) and (2.2.1.4), we get that $w_r(b)\geq w(a)-C(r,\alpha)$ for

$$C(r,\alpha)=\max\{C_1(r,\alpha),C_2(r,\alpha)\}.$$

Furthermore, since $\varphi(b) = a - \alpha b \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_{S_L}$, we get $b \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{pr}_{S_L}$.

It remains to prove (3). Now suppose $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$. It is then clear that $a^+ \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ and $a^- \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{bd},r}_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$. It therefore follows that $b^+ \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ and $b^- \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$. Since $b^- \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{bd},r}_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$, by Proposition 2.1.6, we conclude that

$$b^- \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S) \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{bd},r}_{S_L} = \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{bd},r}_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S.$$

Hence $b = b^+ + b^- \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$. ☐

Remark 2.2.2. One can reformulate the above lemma using the notion of cohomology of φ-modules. For any $\alpha \in S^\times$, we define the rank 1 φ-module $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{S_L}(\alpha)$ over $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{S_L}$ by setting $\varphi(v) = \alpha^{-1} v$ for a generator v; we set $H^1(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{S_L}(\alpha)) = \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{S_L}(\alpha)/(\varphi - 1)$. Then Lemma 2.2.1 says that if $|\alpha^{-1}| < 1$, then av is a coboundary if and only if a satisfies (2.2.1.1).

2.3 Relations between different rings

Now let L be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let φ_L be the arithmetic Frobenius on L. Recall that there exists a natural identification $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}} \cong \Gamma^{\mathrm{alg}}_{\mathrm{an}}$ which identifies $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,\rho(r)}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ with $\Gamma^{\mathrm{alg}}_{\mathrm{an},r}$ for any $r > 0$ (see for instance [4, §1.1]); here $\Gamma^{\mathrm{alg}}_{\mathrm{an}}$ and $\Gamma^{\mathrm{alg}}_{\mathrm{an},r}$ are analytic rings associated to the residue field $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_p((u))^{\mathrm{alg}}}$ introduced by Kedlaya (see [15, §2] for more details). On the other hand, $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_L$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L$ (together with the φ-action) are analytic rings with residue field $\mathbb{F}^{\mathrm{alg}}_p((u^{\mathbb{Q}}))$; here $\mathbb{F}^{\mathrm{alg}}_p((u^{\mathbb{Q}}))$ is the Hahn-Mal'cev-Neumann algebra with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}^{\mathrm{alg}}_p$ (see for instance [15, Definition 4.5.4]). By [14, Theorem 8], $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_p((u))^{\mathrm{alg}}}$ is a closed subfield of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathrm{alg}}_p((u^{\mathbb{Q}}))$. This leads to natural embeddings of analytic rings

$$\Gamma^{\mathrm{alg}}_{\mathrm{an,r}} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L$$

for all $r > 0$. By the above identifications, we therefore get natural embeddings

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,\rho(r)}_{\mathrm{rig}} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L \tag{2.3.0.1}$$

for all $r > 0$ which respect the φ-action. Henceforth we regard $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,\rho(r)}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ as a subring of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L$.

We will need the following results later.

Lemma 2.3.1. *For any $a \in S_L$, there exists a Zariski closed subspace $M(S(a))$ of $M(S)$ such that for any map $g : S \to R$ of affinoid algebras, $g(a) = 0$ if and only if the map $M(R) \to M(S)$ factors through $M(S(a))$.*

Proof. Choose an orthogonal basis $\{e_j\}_{j \in J}$ of L over $\mathbb{Q}_p$; then it is also an orthogonal basis of S_L as an S-Banach module. Let $I(a)$ be the ideal of S generated by the coefficients of a. It is then clear that one can take $S(a) = S/I(a)$. ☐

Lemma 2.3.2. *Let $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$. Then there exists a Zariski closed subspace $M(S(a,r))$ of S such that for any map $g : S \to R$ of affinoid algebras, $g(a) \in \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,\rho(r)}_{\mathrm{rig},K} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R$ if and only if the map $M(R) \to M(S)$ factors through $M(S(a,r))$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,\rho(r)}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$ is a closed subspace of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L$, by Hahn-Banach theorem for Fréchet type spaces over discretely valued field, there exists a closed subspace V of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L$ so that $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L \cong \mathbf{B}^{\rho(r)}_{\mathrm{rig},K} \oplus V$ as Fréchet spaces over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. It follows that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S \cong \mathbf{B}^{\rho(r)}_{\mathrm{rig},K} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S \oplus V \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R \cong \mathbf{B}^{\rho(r)}_{\mathrm{rig},K} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R \oplus V \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R.$$

Suppose $a = a_1 + a_2$ with $a_1 \in \mathbf{B}^{\rho(r)}_{\mathrm{rig},K} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ and $a_2 \in V \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$. It follows that $g(a) \in \mathbf{B}^{\rho(r)}_{\mathrm{rig},K} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R$ if and only if $g(a_2) = 0$. By Proposition 2.1.5, we may regard a_2 as an element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_{S_L}$; then $g(a_2) = 0$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R$ if and only if $g(a_2) = 0$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^r_{R_L}$. Suppose $a_2 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}} c_i u^i$. Let

$$I(a, r) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}} I(c_i)$$

where $I(c_i)$ is the ideal defined in the proof of Lemma 2.3.1. It is then clear that one can take $S(a, r) = S/I(a, r)$. $\square$

3 Construction of finite slope subspaces

Throughout this section, let X, V_X and α be as in §0.1. In the case when $X = M(S)$ is an affinoid space, we denote V_X by V_S instead. For any morphism $X' \to X$ of rigid analytic spaces over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we denote by $V_{X'}$ the pullback of V_X on X' which is a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-module of rank d with continuous $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-linear $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-action.

We call an admissible open subset $U \subseteq M(S)$ Zariski open if it is the analytification of an Zariski open subset W of $\mathrm{Spec}(S)$. We further say that U is scheme-theoretically dense if W is Zariski dense in $\mathrm{Spec}(S)$. We call an admissible open subset U of X Zariski open (resp. scheme-theoretically dense) if it is true after restricting to the pieces of an (hence any) admissible covering of X. For any $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, we denote by X_f the complement of the vanishing locus of f; it is a Zariski open set of X. Furthermore, X_f is scheme-theoretically dense if and only if f is not a zero divisor on X.

We have defined finite slope subspaces of X with respect to (α, V_X) in Definition 0.1.1. The goal of this section is to prove that X has a unique finite slope subspace (which may well be empty).

3.1 Prelude

Proposition 3.1.1. *The formation of X_{fs} commutes with flat base change. Namely, if $f : X' \to X$ is a flat morphism of separated rigid analytic spaces over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and if X_{fs} is a finite slope subspace of X, then the pullback X'_{fs} of X_{fs} is a finite slope subspace of X' with respect to $(V_{X'}, f^*(\alpha))$.*

Proof. Note that the Sen's polynomial for $V_{X'}$ is $Tf^*(Q(T))$. By (1) of the Definition 0.1.1, we get that $Q(j)$ is not a zero divisor of X for every integer $j \leq 0$. The flatness of f then implies that $f^*(Q(j))$ is not a zero divisor in X'_{fs}. Hence X'_{fs} satisfies (1) of Definition 0.1.1. Now let $g : M(R) \to X'$ be a map of rigid spaces over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ which factors through $X'_{Q(j)}$ for every integer $j \leq 0$. Then $f \circ g$ factors through $X_{Q(j)}$ for every integer $j \leq 0$. By the universal property of X_{fs}, we know that for n sufficiently large, the natural map

$$(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_R))^{\varphi=\alpha, \Gamma=1} \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R))^{\Gamma}$$

is an isomorphism if and only if $f \circ g$ factors through X_{fs}, i.e. if and only if g factors through X'_{fs}. This implies that X'_{fs} satisfies (2) of Definition 0.1.1. ☐

Proposition 3.1.2. *There exists at most one finite slope subspace of X.*

Proof. Suppose that X_1, X_2 are two finite slope subspaces of X. Let $\{U_j\}_{j\in J}$ be an admissible covering of X by affinoid subdomains. It suffices to show that for any $j \in J$, the restrictions of X_1, X_2 on U_j coincide. By Proposition 3.1.1, we see that the restrictions of X_1, X_2 on U_j are finite slope subspaces of U_j. Thus it reduces the case when $X = M(S)$ is an affinoid space. We prove this by using Kisin's argument ([18, (5.8)]). Let $I_1, I_2 \subset S$ be the ideals corresponding to X_1, X_2 respectively. Let W be the support of $(I_1 + I_2)/I_1$ in X_1 (with its reduced structure). Let $x \in X_1$ be a closed point. If $x \in X_{Q(j)}$ for every integer $j \leq 0$, applying (2) of Definition 0.1.1 to any finite length quotient R of $\mathcal{O}_{X_1,x}$, we get that $x \in X_2$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X_1,x}} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X_2,x}}$. This implies that $x \notin W$. Hence, for any $w \in W$ there exists integer $j \leq 0$ such that $Q(j)(w) = 0$. If W_0 is an irreducible component of W, then by [18, (5.7)], we deduce that there exists $j_{W_0} \leq 0$ such that $Q(j_{W_0})$ vanishes in W_0. It follows that X_1/W contains $\cap_{W_0\subseteq W}(X_1)_{Q(j_{W_0})}$. The latter is scheme-theoretically dense in X_1 since W has only finitely many components. A fortiori we see that X_1/W, which is contained in X_2, is scheme-theoretically dense in X_1, yielding $X_1 \subset X_2$. Thus $X_2 = X_1$. ☐

Remark 3.1.3. The proof of Proposition 3.1.2 actually implies that if X_1, X_2 are two Zariski closed subspaces of X such that both of them satisfy (1) and (2) for artinian algebras R over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, then $X_1 = X_2$.

Proposition 3.1.4. *Let $\{U_j\}_{j\in J}$ be an admissible covering of X by affinoid subdomains. Suppose that each U_j has the finite slope subspace $(U_j)_{fs}$. Then $\{(U_j)_{fs}\}_{j\in J}$ glues to form the finite slope subspace of X.*

Proof. By the uniqueness of finite slope subspaces, we see that $\{(U_j)_{fs}\}_{j\in J}$ glues to form a Zariski closed subspace X_{fs} of X. It is then clear that X_{fs} satisfies (1) of Definition 0.1.1. Now let $g : M(R) \to X$ be a morphism of rigid analytic spaces over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ which factors through $X_{Q(j)}$ for each integer $j \leq 0$. The pullback $\{g^{-1}(U_j)\}$ forms an admissible covering of $M(R)$. We choose a finite covering $\{M(R_i)\}_{i\in I}$ of $M(R)$ by affinoid subdomains which refines $\{g^{-1}(U_j)\}$. It then follows that for each $i \in I$, the natural map $(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{R_i}))^{\varphi=g^*(\alpha)} \to \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{R_i})$ is an isomorphism for all sufficiently large n. We deduce from Propositions 1.4.2 and 1.2.2 that the natural map $(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_R))^{\varphi=g^*(\alpha)} \to \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R)$ is an isomorphism for all sufficiently large n. This yields that X_{fs} is the finite slope subspace of X. ☐

3.2 Techniques

Let α, a be as in Lemma 2.2.1. We denote by $S(\alpha, a)$ the intersection of

$$M(S(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha^{-(m+1)}\varphi^m(a_{ip^{-m}})))$$

for all rational numbers $i < 0$.

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let $\alpha \in S^\times$ satisfying $|\alpha^{-1}| < 1$. Then for any $a \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$ there exists a Zariski closed subspace $M(S(\alpha, a))$ of $M(S)$ such that for any morphism $g : S \to R$ of affinoid algebras, the equation*

$$\varphi(b) - g(\alpha)b = g(a) \tag{3.2.1.1}$$

has a solution $b \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_R)$ *if and only if the map* $M(R) \to M(S)$ *factors through* $M(S(\alpha, a))$. *Furthermore, the solution* b *is unique in this case.*

Proof. Since $\mathscr{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$ is a sheaf, it reduces to the case that V_S is free over S. Choose an S-basis $e_1, \dots, e_d$ of V_S, and write $a = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i e_i$ with $a_i \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$. Since φ acts trivially on V_R, we see that (3.2.1.1) admits a solution in $V_R \otimes_R (\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\rho(s)}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}R)$ if and only if each Frobenius equation $\varphi(b_i) - g(\alpha)b_i = g(a_i)$ admits a solution in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\rho(s)}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}R$. Note that $|g(\alpha)^{-1}| \leq |\alpha^{-1}| < 1$. It therefore follows from Lemma 2.2.1 that (3.2.1.1) admits a solution in $V_R \otimes_R (\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\rho(s)}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}R)$ if and only if $M(R) \to M(S)$ factors through $M(S')$ which is the intersection of all $M(\alpha, a_i)$. Furthermore, in this case, the solution is unique. Let b be the solution of (3.2.1.1) in $V_{S'} \otimes_{S'} (\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\rho(s)}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S')$. Let L be a finite extension of K so that $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},L}(V_S)$ is free over $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},L}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S$. Choose a $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},L}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S'$-basis $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ of $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},L}(V_{S'})$. Since

$$\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{L}(V_{S'}) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},L}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S'} (\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\rho(s)}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S') = V_{S'} \otimes_{S'} (\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\rho(s)}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S'),$$

we may write $b = \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i f_i$ with $b_i \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\rho(s)}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S'$. By Lemma 2.3.1, we deduce that $g(b)$ belongs to $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},L}(V_R)$ if and only if the map $M(R) \to M(S')$ factors through $M(S'')$ which is the intersection of all $M(S'(b_i, s))$. Furthermore, by the uniqueness of the solution of (3.2.1.1), we see that the image of b in $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},L}(V_{S''})$ is H_K-invariant; hence it is in $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig},K}(V_{S''})$ by Theorem 1.1.4(4). Therefore we can take $S(\alpha, a) = S''$. ☐

By Lemma 1.3.2, we see that $(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=\alpha}$ is contained in $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$ for any $\alpha \in S$ and $s \geq s(V_S)$. Thus for any $n \geq n(V_S)$, we have a natural map $(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=\alpha} \to \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)$ via the localization map ι_n.

Proposition 3.2.2. *Let* $\alpha \in S^{\times}$. *Then for any* $k > \log_p|\alpha^{-1}|$ *and* $n \geq n(V_S)$, *the natural map*

$$(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=\alpha} \to \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k)$$

is injective.

Proof. Let $a \in (\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=\alpha}$, and let a_m be its image in $\mathrm{D}^{+,m}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k)$ for any $m \geq n(V_S)$. Using the relation $\varphi(a) = \alpha a$ and Proposition 1.3.3(3), we get $a_m = \alpha^{n-m}a_n$. Thus if $a_n = 0$, then $a_m = 0$ for all $m \geq n(V_S)$. This implies that $t^k | a$ by Proposition 1.3.3(2). Now suppose that a lies in the kernel of the map, and write $a = t^k a'$ for some $a' \in \mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$. It follows that $\varphi(a') = p^{-k}\alpha a'$. Since $|(p^{-k}\alpha)^{-1}| = p^{-k}|\alpha^{-1}| < 1$, we deduce that $a' = 0$ by Proposition 3.2.1. ☐

Proposition 3.2.3. *For any integer* $n \geq n(V_S)$, *positive integer* $k > \log_p|\alpha^{-1}|$ *and* $a \in \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k)$, *there exists a Zariski closed subspace* $M(S(k, \alpha, a))$ *of* $M(S)$ *such that for any map* $g : S \to R$ *of affinoid algebras,* $g(a)$, *which is an element of* $\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R)/(t^k)$ *is contained in the image of the natural map* $(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_R))^{\varphi=g(\alpha)} \to \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R)/(t^k)$ *if and only if the map* $M(R) \to M(S)$ *factors through* $M(S(k, \alpha, a))$.

Proof. It suffices to show that proposition in the case that V_S is free. Using Proposition 1.3.3(2), we choose $\tilde{a} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger, r_n}(V_S)$ such that the image of $\iota_m(a)$ in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,m}(V_S)/(t^k)$ is $\alpha^{m-n}a$ for each $m \geq n$. If $g(a)$ can be lifted to an element $\tilde{b}$ of $(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_R))^{\varphi = g(\alpha)}$, since $\varphi(\tilde{b}) = g(\alpha)\tilde{b}$, by Proposition 1.3.3(3), we see that the image of $\iota_m(\tilde{b})$ in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,m}(V_R)/(t^k)$ is $g(\alpha)^{m-n}g(a)$. Then by Proposition 1.3.3(2), we see that t^k divides $\tilde{b} - g(\tilde{a})$ in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger, r_n}(V_R)$. Therefore, we deduce that $g(a)$ can be lifted to $(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger, r_n}(V_R))^{\varphi = g(\alpha)}$ if and only if the equation

$$(\varphi - g(\alpha))(g(\widetilde{a}) + t^k b) = 0 \tag{3.2.3.1}$$

has a solution $b \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger, r_n}(V_R)$. A short computation shows that (3.2.3.1) is equivalent to

$$p^k t^k(\varphi - p^{-k}g(\alpha))b = (\varphi - g(\alpha))(g(\widetilde{a})).$$

By the construction of $\tilde{a}$, we see that t^k divides $\varphi(\tilde{a}) - \alpha\tilde{a}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger, r_{n+1}}(V_S)$. We thus get that (3.2.3.1) has a solution in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger, r_n}(V_R)$ if and only if the equation

$$(\varphi - p^{-k}g(\alpha))b = g(p^{-k}t^{-k}(\varphi(\widetilde{a}) - g(\widetilde{a}))) \tag{3.2.3.2}$$

has a solution in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger, r_{n+1}}(V_R)$. In fact, if b is such an solution, we have $b \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger, r_n}(V_R)$ by Lemma 1.3.2. The assumption implies that $|(p^{-k}\alpha)^{-1}| = p^{-k}|\alpha^{-1}| < 1$. It therefore follows from Proposition 3.2.1 that $g(a)$ can be lifted to $(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_R))^{\varphi = g(\alpha)}$ if and only if the map $M(R) \to M(S)$ factors through $M(S(p^{-k}\alpha, p^{-k}t^{-k}(\varphi - \alpha)(\tilde{a})))$; thus we can take $M(S(k, \alpha, a))$ to be $M(S(p^{-k}\alpha, p^{-k}t^{-k}(\varphi - \alpha)(\tilde{a})))$. ☐

Corollary 3.2.4. *For any integer* $n \geq n(V_S)$ *and positive integer* $k > \log_p|\alpha^{-1}|$, *there exists a Zariski closed subspace* $M(S(k, \alpha, n))$ *of* $M(S)$ *such that for any map* $g : S \to R$ *of affinoid algebras, the* R*-submodule* $g((\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma})$ *of* $(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_R)/(t^k))^{\Gamma}$ *is contained in the image of the natural map* $(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_R))^{\varphi = g(\alpha), \Gamma = 1} \to (\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_R)/(t^k))^{\Gamma}$ *if and only if the map* $M(R) \to M(S)$ *factors through* $M(S(k, \alpha, n))$.

Proof. Note that for any $a \in (\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma}$, if $g(a)$ can be lifted to $(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_R))^{\varphi = g(\alpha)}$, then the lift is Γ-invariant by Proposition 3.2.2. Thus we can take $M(S(k, \alpha, n))$ to be the intersection of $M(S(k, \alpha, a))$ for all $a \in (\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma}$. ☐

Corollary 3.2.5. *Keep notations as in Corollary 3.2.4. Then there exists a Zariski closed subspace* $M(S(k, \alpha))$ *of* $M(S)$ *such that for any map* $g : S \to R$ *of affinoid algebras, the* R*-submodule* $g((\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma})$ *of* $(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_R)/(t^k))^{\Gamma}$ *is contained in the image of the natural map*

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_R)^{\varphi = g(\alpha), \Gamma = 1} \to (\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_R)/(t^k))^{\Gamma} \tag{3.2.5.1}$$

for all sufficiently large n *if and only if the map* $M(R) \to M(S)$ *factors through* $M(S(k, \alpha))$.

Proof. It is clear that we can take $M(S(k, \alpha))$ to be the intersection of $M(S(k, \alpha, n))$ for all $n \geq n(V_S)$. ☐

3.3 Finite slope subspaces

Theorem 3.3.1. *The rigid analytic space X has a unique finite slope subspace.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1.4, it suffices to treat the case that $X = M(S)$ is an affinoid space. Let

$$X' = \cap_{k>\log_p|\alpha^{-1}|} M(S(k,\alpha)),$$

and for each $i \geq 1$, let X'_i be the Zariski closure of $X'_{P(i)} = \cap_{j=0}^{i-1} X'_{Q(-j)}$. We claim that $X_{fs} = \cap_{i\geq 1} X'_i$ is the finite slope subspace of X. First note that the decreasing sequence of closed subspaces $X'_1 \supseteq X'_2 \cdots$ becomes constant eventually because X is Noetherian. Hence $X_{fs} = X'_i$ and $(X_{fs})_{P(i)} = X'_{P(i)}$ for sufficiently large i. This implies that $(X_{fs})_{P(i)}$ is scheme-theoretically dense in X_{fs} for each $i \geq 1$. This yields that X_{fs} satisfies (1) of Definition 0.1.1.

Now suppose that $g : M(R) \to X$ is a map of affinoid spaces over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ which factors through $X_{Q(j)}$ for every $j \leq 0$. It follows from Corollary 1.5.3 that for each $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq n(V_S)$, the natural map

$$(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R))^\Gamma \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R)/(t^k))^\Gamma$$

is an isomorphism. Hence (0.1.1.1) is an isomorphism if and only if the natural map

$$(\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_R))^{\varphi=g^*(\alpha),\Gamma=1} \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R)/(t^k))^\Gamma \tag{3.3.1.1}$$

is surjective for some (hence any) $k \geq 1$. By Corollary 1.5.3, the natural map

$$(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma \otimes_S R \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R)/(t^k))^\Gamma$$

is an isomorphism. Hence by Corollary 3.2.4, the map (3.3.1.1) is surjective if and only if the map $g : M(R) \to X$ factors through $M(S(k,\alpha,n)$ for each $k > \log_p|\alpha^{-1}|$ by Corollary 3.2.5. We thus conclude that (0.1.1.1) is an isomorphism all sufficiently large n if and only if $g : M(R) \to X$ factors through X_{fs}. This yields that X_{fs} satisfies (2) of Definition 0.1.1. ☐

Proposition 3.3.2. *For any affinoid subdomain $M(S)$ of X_{fs} and positive integer $k > \log_p|\alpha^{-1}|$, we have $S(k,\alpha) = S$. As a consequence, we have that the natural map*

$$(\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1} \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$$

is an isomorphism for all $n \geq n(V_S)$.

Proof. Note that the finite slope subspace of X_{fs} is itself. We then deduce that $(M(S))_{fs} = M(S)$ since the formation of finite slope subspaces commutes with flat base change. This yields that $M(S) \subseteq M(S(k,\alpha))$ following the construction of finite slope subspaces in Theorem 3.3.1; hence $M(S) = M(S(k,\alpha))$. This yields the proposition. ☐

Theorem 3.3.3. *Let $M(S)$ be an affinoid subdomain of X_{fs}. Then for any $n \geq n(V_S)$ and $k > \log_p|\alpha^{-1}|$ where the norm is taken in S, the natural map of sheaves*

$$(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1} \to (\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$$

is an isomorphisms. As a consequence, we have that $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{X_{fs}}))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ is a coherent sheaf on X_{fs}.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3.2, it is clear that the natural map of sheaves

$$(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1} \to (\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma}$$

is an isomorphism. By Proposition 1.4.5, we get that $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ is a coherent sheaf. This yields the theorem. □

Theorem 3.3.4. *For any affinoid algebra R and morphism $g : M(R) \to X_{fs}$ which factors through $X_{Q(j)}$ for every integer $j \leq 0$, the natural map*

$$(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_R))^{\varphi=g^*(\alpha),\Gamma=1} \to (\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R)/(t^k))^{\Gamma}$$

is an isomorphism for all sufficiently large k. As a consequence, we have that $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_R))^{\varphi=g^*(\alpha),\Gamma=1}$ is a coherent sheaf.

Proof. We choose an admissible covering $\{M(S_i)\}_{i\in I}$ of X_{fs} by affinoid subdomains. Let $\{M(R_j)\}_{j\in J}$ be a finite covering of $M(R)$ which refines the pullback of the covering $\{M(S_i)\}_{i\in I}$ on $M(R)$. Suppose that $M(R_j)$ maps to $M(S_{i_j})$ for each $j \in J$. Let k be a positive integer such that $k > |\alpha^{-1}|$ where the norm is taken in the union of all S_{i_j}. Now for any affinoid subdomain $M(R')$ of some $M(R_j)$, we have that $(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{R'})/(t^k))^{\Gamma=1} = (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{S_{i_j}})/(t^k))^{\Gamma=1} \otimes_{S_{i_j}} R'$ by Corollary 1.5.6 because $M(R')$ maps to $X_{P(k)}$. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.3.2, we have $M(S_{i_j}, k) = M(S_{i_j})$, yielding that the natural map $(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{R'}))^{\varphi=g^*(\alpha),\Gamma=1} \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{R'})/(t^k))^{\Gamma}$ is surjective. Furthermore, it is injective by Proposition 3.2.2; so it is an isomorphism. Hence the natural map $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{R_j}))^{\varphi=g^*(\alpha),\Gamma=1} \to (\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{R_j})/(t^k))^{\Gamma}$ is an isomorphism. This yields the theorem since both $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_R))^{\varphi=g^*(\alpha),\Gamma=1}$ and $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R)/(t^k))^{\Gamma}$ are sheaves.

□

Remark 3.3.5. Our finite slope subspace X_{fs} coincides with Kisin's constructed in [18, §5]. In fact, as noted in Remark 3.1.3, to characterize our finite slope subspaces, it suffices to test only $\mathbb{Q}_p$-artinian algebras R in Definition 0.1.1(2). By the argument in [18, (5.8)], the same holds for Kisin's finite slope subspaces. For such R, we have

$$(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_R))^{\Gamma} = D^{+}_{\mathrm{crys}}(V_R) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathrm{D}^{+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_R))^{\Gamma} = D^{+}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_R)$$

by [3, Théorème 3.6] and [12, Théorème 3.9] respectively. Thus our condition (2) coincides with the counterpart of Kisin's in this case; hence the claim.

4 Triangulation locus of families of p-adic representations

4.1 Vector bundles and (φ, Γ)-modules

Definition 4.1.1. Let I be a subinterval of $(0, \infty]$. By a *vector bundle* over $\mathcal{R}^I_S$ of rank d we mean a coherent locally free sheaf M^I_S of rank d over the product of the annulus $v_p(T) \in I$ with $M(S)$ in the category of rigid analytic spaces over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We call M^I_S *free* if it is freely generated by its global sections. By a *vector bundle* M_S over $\mathcal{R}_S$ we mean an object in the direct limit as $r \to 0$ of the categories of vector bundles over $\mathcal{R}^r_S$.

For a subinterval I' of I, we denote by $M_S^{I'}$ the base change of M_S^I to the product of the annulus $v_p(T) \in I'$ with $M(S)$. If $S \to R$ is a map of affinoid algebras over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we set M_R^I and M_R as the base changes of M_S^I and M_S to the product of the annulus $v_p(T) \in I$ with $M(R)$ and $\mathcal{R}_R$ respectively. For any $x \in M(S)$, we denote $M_{k(x)}^I$, $M_{k(x)}$ by M_x^I, M_x instead. By Lemma 2.1.7, we get that $M_x^r = M_S^r \otimes_S k(x)$; hence the natural map $M_S^r \to M_x^r$ is surjective.

Remark 4.1.2. A locally free $\mathcal{R}_S^I$-module of rank d naturally gives rise to a vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}_S^I$ of rank d. The converse is also true when I is a closed interval.

We will need the following lemma in §4.2.

Lemma 4.1.3. *Let M_S^I be a vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}_S^I$. If I is closed, then there exists a finite covering of $M(S)$ by affinoid subdomains $M(S_1), \dots, M(S_i)$ such that $M_{S_1}^I, \dots, M_{S_i}^I$ are all free.*

Proof. This is [16, Corollary 2.2.4]. $\square$

Recall that there exists an isomorphism $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which sends $[\varepsilon]$ to $1+T$, and identifies $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,\rho(r)}_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with $\mathcal{R}^r_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Using Proposition 2.1.5, we henceforth identify $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ with $\mathcal{R}_S$, and equip the latter with the induced φ, Γ-actions.

Definition 4.1.4. By a (φ,Γ)-*module* over $\mathcal{R}_S$ of rank d we mean a vector bundle D_S over $\mathcal{R}_S$ of rank d equipped with commuting semilinear φ, Γ-actions such that the induced map $\varphi^* D_S \to D_S$ is an isomorphism as vector bundles. We call D_S *free* if the underlying vector bundle is free. The morphisms of (φ,Γ)-modules over $\mathcal{R}_S$ are morphisms of the underlying vector bundles which respect φ, Γ-actions.

Let D_S be a (φ,Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_S$. It is clear from Definition 4.1.4 that for r sufficiently small, D_S is represented by a vector bundle D_S^r over $\mathcal{R}^r_{S_{K'}}$ such that the φ-action maps D_S^r to $D_S^{r/p}$ and the induced map $\varphi^*(D_S^r) \to D_S^{r/p}$ is an isomorphism; we call such D_S^r *representative vector bundles* of D_S.

Remark 4.1.5. Our definition of (φ,Γ)-modules over $\mathcal{R}_S$ coincides with the notion of *families of* (φ,Γ)-*modules* over $\mathcal{R}_{S_{K'}}$ for $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ defined in [17].

Remark 4.1.6. If V_S is a locally free S-linear representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$ is a (φ,Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_S$ with representative vector bundles $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,\rho(r)}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$.

Remark 4.1.7. In the case when S is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we have that D_S is free over $\mathcal{R}_S$ by the Bézout property of $\mathcal{R}_S$; thus our definition is compatible with the classical definition of (φ,Γ)-modules.

For general S, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.1.8. *The (φ,Γ)-module D_S is S-locally free. Namely, for any $x \in M(S)$, there exists an affinoid subdomain $M(S')$ containing x such that $D_{S'}$ is free over $\mathcal{R}_{S'}$.*

Proof. Note that the vector bundle D_S together with its φ-action is a *family of φ-modules* over $\mathcal{R}_S$ in the sense of [20, Definition 2.1.12]. We thus deduce the proposition from [20, Corollary 2.2.10]. $\square$

Lemma 4.1.9. *Suppose that L is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let D be a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_L$, and let E be a (φ, Γ)-submodule of D. Suppose that D^r and E^r are representative vector bundles of D and E for some $r > 0$. Then we have $E^r \subset D^r$. As a consequence, we get that D has at most one representative vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}_L^r$ for any $r > 0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be an $\mathcal{R}_L^r$-basis of D^r, and let $\mathrm{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_m)$ be an $\mathcal{R}_L^r$-basis of E^r. Since D^r and E^r are representative vector bundles, it follows that there exist invertible matrices A and B over $\mathcal{R}_L^{r/p}$ such that $\varphi(\mathrm{d}) = \mathrm{d}A$ and $\varphi(\mathrm{e}) = \mathrm{e}B$. We write $\mathrm{e} = \mathrm{d}C$ for some $n \times m$ matrix C over $\mathcal{R}_L$. Thus $\mathrm{d}CB = \mathrm{e}B = \varphi(\mathrm{e}) = \varphi(\mathrm{d})\varphi(C) = \mathrm{d}A\varphi(C)$, yielding $CB = A\varphi(C)$. Hence $\varphi(C) = A^{-1}CB$. Now suppose that C is over $\mathcal{R}_L^s$ for some $s > 0$. If $s < r/p$, we get that $\varphi(C) = A^{-1}CB$ is over $\mathcal{R}_L^s$, yielding that C is over $\mathcal{R}_L^{ps}$. Iterating this argument, we get that C is over $\mathcal{R}_L^{r/p}$. Thus $\varphi(C)$ is over $\mathcal{R}_L^{r/p}$, yielding C is over $\mathcal{R}_L^r$. This implies $E^r \subset D^r$. ☐

Lemma 4.1.10. *Suppose that L is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let D be a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_L$, and let E be a (φ, Γ)-submodule of D. Let D^r and E^r be representative vector bundles of D and E for some $r > 0$. Then E is saturated in D if and only if E^r is saturated in D^r. Furthermore, if this is the case, then $E^r = D^r \cap E$ and D^r/E^r is the representative vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}_L^r$ of D/E.*

Proof. It suffices to show that if E is saturated in D, then $E^r = D^r \cap E$ and D^r/E^r is a representative vector bundle of D/E. By Lemma 4.1.9, we have $E^r \subseteq E \cap D^r$. This implies $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_L^r}(E \cap D^r) \geq \mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_L^r} E^r = \mathrm{rank}\, E$. On the other hand, since $D^r/(E \cap D^r)$ generates D/E, we have $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_L^r}(D^r/(E \cap D^r)) \geq \mathrm{rank}(D/E) = \mathrm{rank}\, D - \mathrm{rank}\, E$. Since $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_L^r}(E \cap D^r) + \mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_L^r}(D^r/(E \cap D^r)) = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_L^r} D^r = \mathrm{rank}\, D$, we deduce that $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_L^r}(E \cap D^r) = \mathrm{rank}\, E$ and $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_L^r}(D^r/(E \cap D^r)) = \mathrm{rank}(D/E)$. We claim that $E \cap D^r$ and $D^r/(E \cap D^r)$ are representative vector bundles of E and D/E respectively. First note that the natural map $(D^r/(E \cap D^r)) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_L^r} \mathcal{R}_L \to D/E$ is surjective; hence it is an isomorphism because both sides have the same rank. This yields that the natural map $(E \cap D^r) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_L^r} \mathcal{R}_L \to E$ is also an isomorphism. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \varphi^*(E \cap D^r) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \varphi^*(D^r) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \varphi^*(D^r/(E \cap D^r)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & (E \cap D^r) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_L^r} \mathcal{R}_L^{r/p} \arrow[r] & D^{r/p} \arrow[r] & D^{r/p}/((E \cap D^r) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_L^r} \mathcal{R}_L^{r/p}) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The middle vertical map is an isomorphism. Thus the right vertical map is surjective; hence it is an isomorphism. Hence the left vertical map is also an isomorphism. This yields the claim. We then deduce the lemma from Lemma 4.1.9. ☐

Proposition 4.1.11. *Let D_S be a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_S$ of rank d, and let E_S be a (φ, Γ)-submodule of D_S of rank d_1. Suppose that $E_S^r \subset D_S^r$ are representative vector bundles of E_S and D_S respectively. If E_x is a saturated (φ, Γ)-submodule of D_x for every $x \in M(S)$, then D_S^r/N_S^r is a vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}_S^r$ of rank $d - d_1$. As a consequence, D_S/E_S is a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_S$ of rank $d - d_1$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that E_x^r is a saturated $\mathcal{R}_{k(x)}^r$-submodule of D_x^r for every $x \in M(S)$; this follows from Lemma 4.1.10. ☐

Let $\widehat{\mathscr{T}}(S)$ be the set of continuous characters $\delta : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to S^\times$. For any $\delta \in \widehat{\mathscr{T}}(S)$, we define the rank 1 (φ, Γ)-module $\mathcal{R}_S(\delta)$ over $\mathcal{R}_S$ by setting the φ, Γ-actions as

$$\varphi(av) = \varphi(a)\delta(p)v \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(av) = \gamma(a)\delta(\chi(\gamma))v$$

for a generator v and any $a \in \mathcal{R}_S, \gamma \in \Gamma$; such a generator v is called a *canonical basis* of $\mathcal{R}_S(\delta)$. We set $D_S(\delta) = D_S \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_S} \mathcal{R}_S(\delta)$.

Definition 4.1.12. We call D_S *trianguline* if it admits a filtration

$$0 = \mathrm{Fil}_0(D_S) \subset \mathrm{Fil}_1(D_S) \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{Fil}_{d-1}(D_S) \subset \mathrm{Fil}_d(D_S) = D_S$$

by (φ, Γ)-submodules over $\mathcal{R}_S$ such that each successive quotient $\mathrm{Fil}_i(D_S)/\mathrm{Fil}_{i-1}(D_S)$ is of the form $\mathcal{R}_S(\delta_i) \otimes_S M$ where $\delta_i \in \widehat{\mathscr{T}}(S)$ and M is a locally free S-module of rank 1 (with trivial φ, Γ-actions); any such a filtration $(\mathrm{Fil}_i(D_S))_{0 \le i \le d}$ is called a *triangulation* of D_S, and $(\delta_i)_{1 \le i \le d}$ is called the *parameters* of this triangulation. We call a locally free S-linear representation V_S of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ *trianguline* if $\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S)$ is a trianguline (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_S$.

Notation 4.1.13. Let D_S be a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_S$. For any $\delta \in \widehat{\mathscr{T}}(S)$, we denote by D_S^δ the S-submodule of $a \in D_S$ such that $\varphi(a) = \delta(p)a$ and $\gamma(a) = \delta(\chi(\gamma))a$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

4.2 Triangulation locus

Definition 4.2.1. Let A be a commutative ring with identity, and let M be a free A-module of rank d.

(1) We call $m \in M$ *saturated* if $M/(Am)$ is a free A-module of rank $d-1$, or in other words, if one can extend the set $\{m\}$ to an A-basis of M.

(2) Let $m \in M$ be saturated, and let $n \in \wedge^i M$ for some $1 \le i \le d$. Suppose that $m \wedge n = 0$ in $\wedge^{i+1} M$. Then there exists a unique $\overline{n} \in \wedge^{i-1}(M/Am)$ such that the wedge product of any lift of $\overline{n}$ in $\wedge^{i-1} M$ and m is equal to n; we call $\overline{n}$ the *quotient* of n by m.

(3) Let $m_i \in \wedge^i M$ for $1 \le i \le d$. We say that the sequence $m_1, \ldots, m_d$ forms a *chain* in M if there exists an A-basis $e_1, \ldots, e_d$ of M such that $m_i = e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_i$ for all $1 \le i \le d$. In this case, the filtration

$$\mathrm{Fil}_i(M) = \text{Span of } \{e_j\}_{0 \le j \le i}, \quad 1 \le i \le d-1, \quad \mathrm{Fil}_d(M) = M,$$

which is independent of the choice of the basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$, is called the *associated filtration* of the chain $m_1, \ldots, m_d$.

The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 4.2.2. *The sequence $m_1, \ldots, m_d$ forms a chain in M if and only if the following hold.*

(1) m_1 is saturated.

(2) $m_1 \wedge m_i = 0$ for each $2 \le i \le d$.

(3) The sequence of quotients of $m_2, \ldots, m_d$ by m_1 forms a chain in M/AM.

Lemma 4.2.3. *Suppose that A is a Bézout domain and each m_i is saturated in $\wedge^i M$. Let B be a commutative ring containing A. Then the sequence $m_1, \dots, m_d$ forms a chain in M if and only if it forms a chain in $M \otimes_A B$.*

Proof. We only need to show the "if" part of the lemma. For this, we proceed by induction on d. The initial case is trivial. Suppose that the it is true for $d = k-1$ for some $k \geq 2$. Now suppose that $\operatorname{rank} M = k$ and the sequence $m_1, \dots, m_k$ forms a chain in $M \otimes_A B$. It is then clear that $m_1 \wedge m_i$ is equal to 0 in $\wedge^{i+1}(M \otimes_A B)$; hence it is equal to zero in $\wedge^i M$ since the natural map $M \to M \otimes_A B$ is injective. Furthermore, the quotient of m_i by m_1 is saturated in $\wedge^{i-1}(M/Am_1)$ by the Bézout property of A. We therefore conclude from Lemma 4.2.2 and inductive assumption. $\square$

Lemma 4.2.4. *Let L be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let V be a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_L$ of rank d. Then the following are true.*

(1) Let $a \in D^\delta$ for some $\delta \in \widehat{\mathscr{T}}(L)$. Then a is saturated in D if and only if it is saturated in D^r.

(2) For $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $\Delta_i \in \widehat{\mathscr{T}}(L)$, and let $a_i \in (\wedge^i D)^{\Delta_i}$. Then the sequence $a_1, \dots, a_d$ forms a chain in D if and only if it forms a chain in D^r.

Proof. For (1), suppose that a is saturated in D. The condition $\varphi(a) = \delta(p)a$ implies that $a \in D^r$. Note that $\mathcal{R}_L^r a$ is the representative vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}_L^r$ of the rank 1 saturated (φ, Γ)-submodule $\mathcal{R}_L a$. We then deduce (1) from Lemma 4.1.10. For (2), suppose that the sequence $a_1, \dots, a_d$ forms a chain in D. Then each a_i is saturated in $\wedge^i D^r$ by (1). We thus deduce that the sequence $a_1, \dots, a_d$ forms a chain in D^r by Lemma 4.2.3. $\square$

Now let S be an affinoid algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Lemma 4.2.5. *Let I be a closed subinterval of $(0, \infty]$, and let M_S^I be a vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}_S^I$ of rank d. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $a_i \in \wedge^i M_S^I$ such that its image in $\wedge^i M_x^I$ is saturated for any $x \in M(S)$. Then the set of $x \in M(S)$ at which the image of the sequence $a_1, \dots, a_d$ forms a chain in M_x^I is a Zariski closed subset of $M(S)$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on d. The initial case is trivial. Suppose that the lemma is true for $d = k-1$ for some $k \geq 2$. Now suppose that M_S^I has rank k. Since the image of a_1 in M_x^I is saturated for any $x \in M(S)$, we get that $M_S^I/\mathcal{R}_S^I a_1$ is a vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}_S^I$ of rank $k-1$. Since I is a closed interval, using Lemma 4.1.3, we may suppose that M_S^I and $M_S^I/\mathcal{R}_S^I a_1$ are free over $\mathcal{R}_S^I$ by restricting on a finite covering of $M(S)$ by affinoid subdomains; thus a_1 is saturated in M_S^I. It is then clear that for each $2 \leq i \leq k$, the set of $x \in M(S)$ at which the image of $a_1 \wedge a_i$ in $\wedge^{i+1} M_x^I$ is zero forms a Zariski closed subset $M(S_i)$ of $M(S)$. Furthermore, we have $a_1 \wedge a_i = 0$ in $\wedge^{i+1} M_{S_i}^I$. Let $M(S')$ be the intersection of all $M(S_i)$, and let $b_i \in \wedge^{i-1}(M_{S'}^I/\mathcal{R}_{S'}^I a_1)$ be the quotient of a_i by a_1. By the Bézout property of $\mathcal{R}_{k(x)}^I$, we get that the image of b_i in $\wedge^{i-1}((M_{S'}^I/\mathcal{R}_{S'}^I a_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{S'}^I} \mathcal{R}_{k(x)}^I)$ is saturated for any $x \in M(S')$. By Lemma 4.2.2, we see that the desired subset of $M(S)$ is the set of x at which the image of the sequence $b_2, \dots, b_k$ forms a chain in $(M_{S'}^I/\mathcal{R}_{S'}^I a_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{S'}^I} \mathcal{R}_{k(x)}^I$. We therefore conclude the case $d = k$ from the inductive assumption. $\square$

Lemma 4.2.6. *Let D_S be a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_S$ of rank d. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $\Delta_i \in \widehat{\mathscr{T}}(S)$, and let $a_i \in (\wedge^i D)^{\Delta_i}$ such that it generates a saturated rank 1 (φ, Γ)-submodule in $\wedge^i D_x$ for any $x \in M(S)$. Then the set of $x \in M(S)$ at which the image of the sequence $a_1, \dots, a_d$ forms a chain in D_x is a Zariski closed subset of $M(S)$.*

Proof. Let D_S^r be a representative vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}_S^r$ of D_S. We may suppose that $a_i \in D_S^r$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$ by shrinking r. It follows from Lemma 4.2.4(2) that the sequence $a_1, \dots, a_d$ forms a chain in D_x if and only if it forms a chain in D_x^r. By Lemma 4.2.3, we further deduce that the sequence $a_1, \dots, a_d$ forms a chain in D_x^r if and only if it forms a chain in $D_x^{[r,r]}$. The lemma then follows from Lemma 4.2.5. $\square$

Now let X be a separated rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let V_X be a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-module of rank d equipped with a continuous $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-action. For each $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $\Delta_i : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$ be a continuous character, and let M_i be a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-module of rank 1 contained in $(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(\wedge^i V_X))^{\Delta_i}$. Suppose that each M_i generates a rank 1 saturated (φ, Γ)-submodule in $\wedge^i \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_x)$ for any $x \in X$.

Definition 4.2.7. For any $x \in X$, we say that the sequence $M_1, \dots, M_d$ *forms a chain in* $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_x)$ if a (hence any) sequence of generators of the images of M_i's forms a chain in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_x)$. We call the set of such x the *triangulation locus* of the family of p-adic representations V_X with respect to $(M_1, \dots, M_d)$.

The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.2.8. *The triangulation locus of V_X is a Zariski closed subset of X. Furthermore, for any affinoid subdomain $M(S)$ of the triangulation locus, these M_i's give rise to a triangulation of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_S)$ with parameters $(\Delta_i/\Delta_{i-1})_{1 \leq i \leq d}$.*

Proof. For the first statement of the theorem, by restricting on an admissible covering of X by affinoid subdomains, we may suppose that each M_i is free. It then follows immediately from from Lemma 4.2.6.

Now let $M(S)$ be an affinoid subdomain of the triangulation locus of V_X. Suppose that $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger,s}(V_S)$ is defined for some $s > 0$. Let $M_i^{(0)} = M_i$ for each i. Let $E_1 = M_1^{(0)} \otimes_S \mathcal{R}_S$. Note that $(E_1)_x$ is a rank 1 saturated (φ, Γ)-submodule of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_x)$ for any $x \in M(S)$. By Proposition 4.1.11, the quotient $D_1 = \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_S)/E_1$ is a (φ, Γ)-module of rank $d-1$ over $\mathcal{R}_S$ with representative vector bundle $D_1^{\rho(s)} = \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger,s}(V_S)/E_1^{\rho(s)}$ over $\mathcal{R}_S^{\rho(s)}$ where $E_1^{\rho(s)} = M_1^{(0)} \otimes_S \mathcal{R}_S^{\rho(s)}$. Since $M(S)$ is contained in the triangulation locus, we have $M_1^{(0)} \wedge M_i^{(0)} = 0$ in $\wedge^i \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_S)$ for all $2 \leq i \leq d$. By Lemma 4.1.3, we choose a finite covering $\{M(S_j)\}_{j \in J}$ of $M(S)$ such that all $M_i^{(0)}$'s are free on each $M(S_j)$ and all the vector bundles $D_1^{[\rho(s)/p, \rho(s)]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_S^{[\rho(s)/p,\rho(s)]}} \mathcal{R}_{S_j}^{[\rho(s)/p,\rho(s)]}$ are free. Taking the quotient of $M_i^{(0)}$ by $M_1^{(0)}$ on each piece of the covering and gluing back, we get the quotient $M_i^{(1)}$ of $M_i^{(0)}$ by $M_1^{(0)}$, which is a rank 1 locally free S-submodule of $\wedge^i D_1^{[\rho(s)/p,\rho(s)]}$. Note that for any $m \in M_i^{(1)}$, we have $\varphi(m) = (\Delta_i/\Delta_1)(p)m$. This implies that m can be extended to a global section of $D_1^{\rho(s)}$. Hence $M_i^{(1)} \subseteq (\wedge^{i-1} D_1)^{\Delta_i/\Delta_1}$ for all $2 \leq i \leq d$.

We then iterate the above procedure as follows. Suppose that after the i-th step, we have a (φ, Γ)-module D_i over $\mathcal{R}_S$ of rank $d-i$ with representative vector bundle $D_i^{\rho(s)}$ over $\mathcal{R}_S^{\rho(s)}$ and rank 1 locally free S-submodules $M_j^{(i)}$ of $(\wedge^{j-i} D_i)^{\Delta_j/\Delta_i}$ for $i+1 \leq j \leq d$ such that the image of the sequence $M_{i+1}^{(i)}, \dots, M_d^{(i)}$ forms a chain in $(D_i)_x$ for any $x \in M(S)$. Let $E_{i+1} = M_{i+1} \otimes_S \mathcal{R}_S$, and let $D_{i+1} = D_i/E_i$ which is a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_S$ of rank $d-i-1$. By a similar argument as in the first step, for each $i+2 \leq j \leq d$, we get the quotient $M_{i+1}^{(j)}$ of $M_i^{(j)}$ by $M_i^{(i+1)}$ which is a rank 1 locally free S-submodule of $(\wedge^{j-i-1}D_i)^{\Delta_j/\Delta_{i+1}}$.

Now let $\mathrm{Fil}_i(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_S)) = \ker(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_S) \to D_i)$. It is then clear that $(\mathrm{Fil}_i(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_S)))_{0\leq i\leq d}$ is a triangulation of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_S)$ with successive quotients $\mathrm{Fil}_{i+1}(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_S))/\mathrm{Fil}_i(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_S)) \cong E_i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. The yields the second statement of the theorem. □

We need the following lemma in §5.

Lemma 4.2.9. *Let V_S be a locally free S-linear representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of rank d. Let $\delta \in \widehat{\mathscr{T}}(S)$, and let $a \in (\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_S))^{\delta}$. Then the set of $x \in M(S)$ at which the image of a in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_x)$ generates a saturated rank 1 (φ,Γ)-submodule is Zariski open in $M(S)$.*

Proof. Let $s = s(V_S)$. It follows from [10, Remarque 3.3] that a generates a saturated (φ,Γ)-submodule in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_x)$ if and only if a is not divided by t in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger,s}(V_x)$. Let a_n be the image of a in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}^{n}(V_S)$ for $n \geq n(V_S)$. Using Proposition 1.3.3(2), it suffices to show that the set of $x \in M(S)$ at which the image of a_n in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}^{n}(V_x)$ is nonzero forms a Zariski open subset; this is clear since $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}^{n}(V_S)$ is a finite locally free S-module. □

5 Applications

5.1 Weakly refined families

From now on, let X be a separated and reduced rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Following Bellaiche-Chenevier [2], we introduce refined families of p-adic representations as follows. Our definition is slightly stronger in the sense that we assume our families to be arithmetic families of p-adic representations, not just pseudocharacters as in their definition.

Definition 5.1.1. A *family of weakly refined p-adic representations* of dimension d over X is a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module V_X of rank d equipped with a continuous $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-action and together with the following data

(1) d analytic functions $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d \in \mathcal{O}(X)$,

(2) an analytic functions $F \in \mathcal{O}(X)$,

(3) a Zariski dense subset Z of X,

which satisfy the following requirements.

(a) For every $x \in X$, the Hodge-Tate weights of V_x are, with multiplicity, $\kappa_1(x), \dots, \kappa_d(x)$.

(b) If $z \in Z$, then V_z is crystalline.

(c) If $z \in Z$, then $\kappa_1(z)$ is the smallest weight of V_z.

(d) If $z \in Z$, then $p^{\kappa_1(z)}F(z)$ is an eigenvalue of φ acting on $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V_z)$.

(e) For any non-negative integer C, let Z_C be the set

$$\{z \in Z, \kappa_n(z) - \kappa_1(z) > C, \forall n \in \{2, \dots, d\}\}.$$

Then Z_C accumulates at any $z \in Z$ for all C.

(f) There exists a continuous character $\chi_1 : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \to \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$ whose derivative at 1 is the map κ_1 and whose evaluation at any $z \in Z$ is the elevation to the $\kappa_1(z)$-th power.

From now on let V_X be a weakly refined family of p-adic representations of dimension d over X with $\kappa_1 = 0$. Suppose that the Sen's polynomial for V_X is $TQ(T)$ with $Q(T) \in \mathcal{O}(X)[T]$, and let $P(i) = \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} Q(-j)$ for $i \geq 1$.

Lemma 5.1.2. *The following are true.*

(1) If $x \in X_{P(k)}$ *for some positive integer* k*, then* $\dim(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_x)/(t^k))^\Gamma \leq 1$.

(2) For any $z \in Z$*, we have* $\dim(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_z))^{\varphi=F(z),\Gamma=1} \geq 1$.

(3) For any $z \in Z$*, if* $v_p(F(z)) < \kappa_i(z)$ *for all* $i \geq 2$*, then* $\dim(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_z))^{\varphi=F(z),\Gamma=1} = 1$*. Furthermore, for any positive integer* k *such that* $v_p(F(z)) < k \leq \kappa_i(z)$ *for all* $i \leq 2$*, the natural map*

$$(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_z))^{\varphi=F(z),\Gamma=1} \to (\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_z)/(t^k))^\Gamma \tag{5.1.2.1}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. For (1), by Corollary 1.5.3, we have that the map $(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_x)/(t^k))^\Gamma \to (\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}^{n}(V_x))^\Gamma$ is an isomorphism. Furthermore, since $\kappa_1(x) = 0$ is a multiplicity-one root of the Sen's polynomial for $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_x)$, we get $\dim(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sen}}^{n}(V_x))^\Gamma \leq 1$; hence $\dim(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_x)/(t^k))^\Gamma \leq 1$. For (2), since $\kappa_1(z) = 0$, we get that the Hodge-Tate weights of V_z are all nonnegative. Hence by Berger's result ([3, Théorème 3.6]), we have

$$\dim(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_z))^{\varphi=F(z),\Gamma=1} = \dim(D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V_z))^{\varphi=F(z)} \geq 1.$$

For (3), since $k > v_p(F(z))$, we get the injectivity of (5.1.2.1) by Proposition 3.2.2. On the other hand, since $k \leq k_i(z)$ for $2 \leq i \leq d$, we have $z \in X_{P(k)}$. Hence $\dim(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_z)/(t^k))^\Gamma \leq 1$. We thus deduce from (2) that $\dim(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_z))^{\varphi=F(z),\Gamma=1} = \dim(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_z)/(t^k))^\Gamma = 1$ and (5.1.2.1) is an isomorphism. ☐

Proposition 5.1.3. *The finite slope subspace of* X *with respect to* (V_X, F) *is* X *itself.*

Proof. Since Z is Zariki dense in X, it suffices to show that $Z \subset X_{fs}$. For any $z \in Z$, let $M(S)$ be an affinoid subdomain containing z. Let k be a positive integer so that $p^k > |F^{-1}|$ in S; hence $k > \log_p |F(x)^{-1}| = v_p(F(x))$ for any $x \in M(S)$. Let $z' \in Z_k \cap M(S)$. Since $k_i(z') > k > v_p(F(z'))$ for all $i \geq 2$, by Lemma 5.1.2(3), we have that the natural map $(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_{z'}))^{\varphi=F(z'),\Gamma=1} \to (\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_{z'})/(t^k))^\Gamma$ is an isomorphism; hence $z' \in M(S(k,F))$ by Corollary 3.2.4. Since $Z_k \cap M(S)$ is Zariski dense in $M(S)$ by Definition 5.1.1(e), we find that $S(k,F) = S$ for all $k > \log_p |F^{-1}|$. Furthermore, for any $i \geq 1$, since $Z_i \subset M(S)_{P(i)}$, we get that $M(S)_{P(i)}$ is Zariski dense in $M(S)$. We therefore conclude $M(S)_{fs} = M(S)$ following the construction of finite slope subspace; hence $z \in M(S)_{fs}$, yielding $z \in X_{fs}$. ☐

The following theorem follows immediately from Proposition 5.1.3 and Theorem 3.3.3.

Theorem 5.1.4. *Let* $M(S)$ *be an affinoid subdomain of* X*, and let* k *be a positive integer so that* $k > \log_p |F^{-1}|$*. Then the natural map*

$$(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1} \to (\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dif}}^{+,n}(V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$$

is an isomorphism. As a consequence, we have that $(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^\dagger(V_X))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ *is a coherent sheaf on* X*.*

Definition 5.1.5. We call $x \in X$ *saturated* for V_X if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(1) $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ is locally free of rank 1 around x;

(2) the image of $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ in $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x)$ generates a rank 1 saturated (φ,Γ)-submodule.

We denote by X_s the subset of saturated points of X.

Proposition 5.1.6. *Let $M(S)$ be an affinoid subdomain of X, and let k be a positive integer so that $k > \log_p |F^{-1}|$. Then the following are true.*

(1) For any $x \in M(S)_{P(k)}$, the natural map

$$(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x))^{\varphi=F(x),\Gamma=1} \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_x)/(t^k))^{\Gamma} \tag{5.1.6.1}$$

is an isomorphism.

(2) For any $x \in M(S)_{P(k)}$, the natural map

$$(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1} \otimes_S k(x) \to (\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x))^{\varphi=F(x),\Gamma=1} \tag{5.1.6.2}$$

is an isomorphism.

(3) For any $x \in M(S)$, we have $\dim((\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1} \otimes_S k(x)) \geq 1$.

(4) For any $x \in M(S)_{P(k)}$, we have $\dim(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x))^{\varphi=F(x),\Gamma=1} = \dim(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_x)/(t^k))^{\Gamma} = 1$.

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1} \otimes_S k(x) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma} \otimes_S k(x) \arrow[d] \\
(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x))^{\varphi=F(x),\Gamma=1} \arrow[r] & (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_x)/(t^k))^{\Gamma}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The upper horizontal map is an isomorphism by Proposition 5.1.3 and Proposition 3.3.2. The right vertical map is an isomorphism by Corollary 1.5.6. The lower horizontal map is injective by Proposition 3.2.2. Thus the lower horizontal map and left vertical map are all isomorphisms. This yields (1) and (2).

We first prove (3) for $x = z \in Z$. By (2) and Lemma 5.1.2(3), for any $z' \in Z_k \cap M(S)$, we have $\dim((\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1} \otimes_S k(z')) = 1$. Since $Z_k \cap M(S)$ is Zariski dense in $M(S)$, we deduce that $\dim((\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1} \otimes_S k(x)) \geq 1$ for any $x \in M(S)$; in particular, we get

$$\dim((\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1} \otimes_S k(z)) \geq 1.$$

Thus the coherent sheaf $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ satisfies $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1} \otimes k(z) \neq 0$ for any $z \in Z$. Since Z is Zariski dense in X, we get that $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1} \otimes k(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in X$.

For (4), on one hand, we have $\dim(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_x)/(t^k))^{\Gamma} \leq 1$ by Lemma 5.1.2(1). On the other hand, we have $\dim(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x))^{\varphi=F(x),\Gamma=1} \geq 1$ by (2) and (3). We then deduce (4) from (1). $\square$

Proposition 5.1.7. *The subset of saturated points X_s is Zariski open in X.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.1.6(3), we see that the condition (1) of Definition 5.1.5 cuts out a Zariski open subset X' of X. Then condition (2) of Definition 5.1.5 cuts out a Zariski open subset in X' by Lemma 4.2.9. ☐

Proposition 5.1.8. *For $x \in X$, Let $k = \max\{[v_p(F(x))], 0\} + 1$. If $x \in X_{P(k)}$, then $x \in X_s$ and*

$$\dim(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x))^{\varphi=F(x),\Gamma=1} = 1.$$

Proof. Since $k > v_p(F(x))$, we can choose an affinoid neighborhood $M(S)$ of x such that $k > \log_p |F^{-1}|$ in S. By Proposition 5.1.6 (2) and (4), we then deduce that x satisfies Definition 5.1.5(1). By Corollary 1.5.6, we have that the map $(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_x)/(t^k))^{\Gamma} \to (\mathrm{D}^{n}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_x))^{\Gamma}$ is an isomorphism. Thus by Proposition 5.1.6 (1) and (2), we deduce that the map $(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1} \otimes_S k(x) \to (\mathrm{D}^{n}_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V_x))^{\Gamma}$ is an isomorphism, yielding that x satisfies Definition 5.1.5(2). ☐

Corollary 5.1.9. *The subset of saturated points X_s is scheme-theoretically dense in X.*

Proof. For any affinoid subdomain $M(S)$ of X, we choose a positive integer k such that $k > \log_p |F^{-1}|$ in S. It then follows from Proposition 5.1.8 that $M(S)_{P(k)} \subset X_s$. Since $M(S)_{P(k)}$ is Zariski dense in $M(S)$, the corollary follows. ☐

Proposition 5.1.10. *For any $x \in X$, if $\dim_{k(x)}(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V^{\mathrm{ss}}_x))^{\varphi=F(x),\Gamma=1} = 1$, then $\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1}$ is locally free of rank 1 around x and and the natural map*

$$\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X)^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1} \otimes k(x) \to (\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x))^{\varphi=F(x),\Gamma=1}$$

is an isomorphism

Proof. Using [2, Theorem 3.3.3], we get that for all ideal I of cofinite length of $\mathscr{O}_x$, $D^+_{\mathrm{crys}}(V_X/IV_X)^{\varphi=F}$ is free of rank 1 over $\mathscr{O}_x/I$. Furthermore, we claim that if $I' \supset I$ is another ideal of $\mathscr{O}_z$, then the natural map

$$D^+_{\mathrm{crys}}(V_X/IV_X)^{\varphi=F} \to D^+_{\mathrm{crys}}(V_X/I'V_X)^{\varphi=F}$$

is surjective. In fact, since D^+_{crys} is left exact, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to D^+_{\mathrm{crys}}(I'V_X/IV_X)^{\varphi=F} \to D^+_{\mathrm{crys}}(V_X/IV_X)^{\varphi=F} \to D^+_{\mathrm{crys}}(V_X/I'V_X)^{\varphi=F}.$$

On the other hand, by assumption, we get $\dim_{k(x)} D^+_{\mathrm{crys}}(V_x)^{\varphi=F(x)} = 1$. One easily deduces that

$$\dim_{k(x)} D^+_{\mathrm{crys}}(I'V_X/IV_X)^{\varphi=F} \leq \dim_{k(x)} I'/I.$$

This yields the claim.

Now we choose an affinoid neighborhood $M(S)$ of x, and choose a positive integer $k > \log_p |\alpha_i^{-1}|$ where the norm is taken on $M(S)$. Hence the natural map $(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1} \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma}$ is an isomorphism by Theorem 5.1.4. Since $\widehat{\mathscr{O}}_x$ is flat over S, we deduce from Lemma 1.4.4 that

$$(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^{\Gamma} \otimes_S \widehat{\mathscr{O}}_x \cong (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k) \otimes_S \widehat{\mathscr{O}}_x)^{\Gamma}.$$

Since $\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k)$ is finite locally free over S and $\widehat{\mathscr{O}}_x \cong \varprojlim_l S/\mathfrak{m}_x^l$, we get

$$(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k)\otimes_S \widehat{\mathscr{O}}_x)^\Gamma \cong \varprojlim_l (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k)\otimes_S S/\mathfrak{m}_x^l)^\Gamma \cong \varprojlim_l (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S/\mathfrak{m}_x^l V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$$

Now consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1}\otimes_S \widehat{\mathscr{O}}_x \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma \otimes_S \widehat{\mathscr{O}}_x \arrow[d] \\
\varprojlim_l (\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S/\mathfrak{m}_x^l V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1} \arrow[r] & \varprojlim_l (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S/\mathfrak{m}_x^l V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By the previous paragraph we know that $\varprojlim_l (\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S/\mathfrak{m}_x^l V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1}$ is a free rank 1 $\widehat{\mathscr{O}}_x$-module. Since the top horizontal and the right vertical maps are all isomorphisms, we get that the left vertical map embeds $\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1}\otimes_S \widehat{\mathscr{O}}_x$ as a direct summand of $\varprojlim_l (\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S/\mathfrak{m}_x^l V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1}$. Since $\dim_{k(x)}((\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1}\otimes_S k(x)) \geq 1$, by Nakayama lemma, we conclude that the left vertical map is an isomorphism. This implies that the natural map

$$(\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1}\otimes_S k(x) \to (\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x))^{\varphi=F(x),\Gamma=1}$$

is an isomorphism. □

The rest of this subsection is devoted to develop some blow-up techniques which will be used in §5.3. Let $\pi : Y' \to Y$ be a proper and birational morphism of separated and reduced rigid analytic spaces over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Here birational means that for some coherent sheaf of ideals H, the complement U of the closed subset $V(H)$, which is defined by H, is Zariski dense in Y, the restriction of π to $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is an isomorphism, and $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is Zariski dense in Y'. Let N be a coherent sheaf of $\mathscr{O}_X$-modules. If H' is the coherent sheaf of ideal defined the closed subset $\pi^{-1}(V(H))$ of X', then the *strict transform* N' of N by π is the quotient of π^*N by its H'^∞-torsion. The following Lemma is [2, Lemma 3.4.2].

Lemma 5.1.11. *Let Y be a separated and reduced rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. If M is a torsion free coherent sheaf of modules over Y, then there exists a proper and birational morphism $Y' \to Y$ of rigid analytic spaces with Y' reduced such that the strict transform of M by π is a locally free coherent sheaf of modules N over Y'. More precisely, we may choose π to be the blow-up along a nowhere dense closed subspace of the normalization of Y.*

In the rest of this subsection let Y be a separated and reduced rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let V_Y be a locally free coherent $\mathscr{O}_Y$-module of rank d equipped with a continuous $\mathscr{O}_Y$-linear $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-action. We denote by d_n the rank of $\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k)$ as a locally free $\mathscr{O}_Y$-module.

Lemma 5.1.12. *If $\pi : Y' \to Y$ is a proper birational morphism with Y' reduced such that the strict transformations of $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ and $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k))/(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ by π are locally free of rank c and $d_n - c$ respectively, then $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^\Gamma$ and $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))/(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^\Gamma$ are locally free of rank c and $d_n - c$ respectively as well.*

Proof. Suppose that π is an isomorphism on a scheme-theoretically dense subset V of Y'. Let $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2$ be the strict transformations of $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ and $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k))/(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ by π respectively. Note that both of $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^\Gamma$ and $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))/(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^\Gamma$ are torsion free coherent sheaves. Hence the natural maps

$$\pi^*((\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k))^\Gamma) \to (\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^\Gamma$$

and

$$\pi^*((\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k))/(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k))^\Gamma) \to (\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))/(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^\Gamma$$

factor through $\mathscr{D}_1$ and $\mathscr{D}_2$ respectively. Similarly, the natural map

$$\pi^*((\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k))^\Gamma) \to \pi^*(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k)) \cong \mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k)$$

factors through $\mathscr{D}_1$. To conclude, consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathscr{D}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi^*(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & \mathscr{D}_2 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & (\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^\Gamma \arrow[r] & \mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k) \arrow[r] & (\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))/(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^\Gamma \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the top sequence satisfies that the second map is surjective and the composition map is zero. Note that the natural map $\mathscr{D}_1 \to (\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^\Gamma$ is an isomorphism on $\pi^{-1}(\pi(V)\cap U)$. It follows that the cokernel of the natural map

$$(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^\Gamma \to \mathscr{D}_2$$

supports on $Y' \backslash \pi^{-1}(\pi(V)\cap U)$, which is a nonwhere dense closed subspace of Y'; hence the cokernel is zero because $\mathscr{D}_2$ is locally free and Y' is reduced. This yields that the map

$$\mathscr{D}_2 \to (\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))/(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^\Gamma$$

is an isomorphism; thus $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))/(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^\Gamma$ is locally free of rank $d_n - c$. This yields that $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^\Gamma$ is locally free of rank c. □

Lemma 5.1.13. *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(Y)^\times$. Suppose that $Y_{fs} = Y$ with respect to the pair (V_Y, α). If $\pi : Y' \to Y$ is a proper birational morphism with Y' reduced, then the finite slope subspace of Y' with respect to $(V_{Y'}, \pi^*\alpha)$ is Y' itself.*

Proof. It is clear that Y' satisfies Definition 0.1.1(2). Furthermore, since π is birational and Y' is reduced, we get that Y' also satisfies Definition 0.1.1(1). □

Lemma 5.1.14. *Keep assumption as in Lemma 5.1.13. Moreover, suppose that the spectral norm of α^{-1} is less than p^k for some positive integer k. If $Y' \to Y$ is a proper and birational morphism with Y' reduced such that the strict transformations of $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ and $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k))/(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_Y)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ by π are locally free of rank c and $d_n - c$ respectively, then $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{Y'}))^{\varphi=\pi^*\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ is locally free of rank c and the natural map*

$$(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{Y'}))^{\varphi=\pi^*F,\Gamma=1} \otimes k(y) \to \mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_y)$$

is injective for any $y \in Y'$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1.13, we know that $Y'_{fs} = Y'$. Since $k > \log_p |\alpha^{-1}|$, we have that the natural map

$$(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{Y'}))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1} \to (\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^{\Gamma}$$

is an isomorphism by Theorem 3.3.3. Thus $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{Y'}))^{\varphi=F,\Gamma=1}$ is locally free of rank c by Lemma 5.1.12. Furthermore, since $(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))/(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^{\Gamma}$ is also locally free by Lemma 5.1.12, we have that

$$(\mathscr{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_{Y'})/(t^k))^{\Gamma=1} \otimes k(y) \to \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_y)/(t^k)$$

is injective for any $y \in Y'$. This implies that

$$(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{Y'}))^{\varphi=\pi^*F,\Gamma=1} \otimes k(y) \to \mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_y)$$

is also injective. □

5.2 Refined families

Definition 5.2.1. Let L be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let V be a d-dimensional crystalline L-linear representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that the crystalline Frobenius φ acting on $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V)$ has all its eigenvalues in $L^{\times}$.

(1) By a *refinement* of V we mean a φ-stable L-filtration $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_i)_{0\leq i\leq d}$ of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V)$:

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_1 \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_d = D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V).$$

(2) Suppose that the Hodge-Tate weights of V are $k_1 < k_2 \cdots < k_d$. We say that the refinement $\mathcal{F}$ is *noncritical* if

$$D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V) = \mathcal{F}_i \oplus \mathrm{Fil}^{k_i+1}(D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V)) \tag{5.2.1.1}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq d$.

(3) We denote by φ_i the eigenvalue of φ on $\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$. We say that the refinement $\mathcal{F}$ is *regular* if for any $1 \leq i \leq d$, $\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_i$ is an eigenvalue of φ on $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(\wedge^i V)$ of multiplicity one.

The refinement $\mathcal{F}$ gives rise to an ordering $(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_d)$ of the eigenvalues of φ on $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V)$. If all these eigenvalues are distinct such an ordering conversely determines $\mathcal{F}$. The refinement $\mathcal{F}$ also gives rise to an ordering $(s_1, \ldots, s_d)$ of $\{k_1, \ldots, k_d\}$, defined by the property that the jumps of the Hodge filtration of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V)$ induced on $\mathcal{F}_i$ are $(s_1, \ldots, s_i)$. It is clear that $\mathcal{F}$ is noncritical if and only if the associated ordering of the Hodge-Tate weights is $(k_1, \ldots, k_d)$.

Recall that we have $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V) \otimes_L \mathcal{R}_L[1/t] = \mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)[1/t]$ by Berger's comparison result. We set $\mathrm{Fil}_i(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = (\mathcal{F}_i \otimes_L \mathcal{R}_L[1/t]) \cap \mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. As showed in [2, §2.4], the map

$$(\mathcal{F}_i)_{0\leq i\leq d} \mapsto (\mathrm{Fil}_i(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)))_{0\leq i\leq d}$$

gives rise to a natural bijection between the set of refinements of V and the set of triangulations of $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$. More precisely, if we choose an L-basis $v_1, \ldots, v_d$ of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V)$ so that $\mathcal{F}_i = \oplus_{j=1}^{i} L \cdot v_j$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, then

$$\mathrm{Fil}_i(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = \oplus_{j=1}^{i} \mathcal{R}_L \cdot (t^{-s_j} v_j). \tag{5.2.1.2}$$

It follows that under this bijection the parameters of the triangulation $(\mathrm{Fil}_i(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)))_{0\leq i\leq d}$ is given by $\delta_i(p) = \varphi_i p^{-s_i}$ and $\delta_i|_{\Gamma} = \chi^{-s_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$.

The following lemma is then clear.

Lemma 5.2.2. *For $1 \leq i \leq d$, we have that $m_i = (t^{-s_1}v_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge(t^{-s_i}v_i)$ is saturated in $\wedge^i(\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ and belongs to $(\wedge^i\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^{\varphi=\varphi_1\cdots\varphi_i p^{-s_1-\cdots-s_j},\Gamma=\chi^{-s_1-\cdots-s_j}}$. Furthermore, the sequence $m_1,\dots,m_d$ forms a chain of $\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ and its associated filtration is the triangulation associated to $\mathcal{F}$.*

Following Bellaiche-Chenevier [2], we introduce refined families of p-adic representations as follows. Again, we assume our families to be arithmetic families of p-adic representations, not just pseudocharacters as in Bellaiche-Chenevier's definition.

Definition 5.2.3. A *family of refined p-adic representations* of dimension d over X is a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module V_X of rank d equipped with a continuous $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-action and together with the following data

(1) d analytic functions $\kappa_1,\dots,\kappa_d \in \mathcal{O}(X)$,

(2) d analytic functions $F_1,\dots,F_d \in \mathcal{O}(X)$,

(3) a Zariski dense subset Z of X,

which satisfy the following requirements.

(a), (*b*) as in Definition 5.1.1.

(c) If $z \in Z$, then $\kappa_1(z) < \kappa_2(z) < \cdots < \kappa_d(z)$.

(d) The eigenvalues of φ acting on $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V_z)$ are distinct and are

$$(p^{\kappa_1(z)}F_1(z),\dots,p^{\kappa_d(z)}F_d(z)).$$

(e) For any non-negative integer C, let Z_C be the set

$$\{z \in Z, |\kappa_I(z)-\kappa_J(z)| > C, \forall I,J \subseteq \{1,\dots,d\}, |I| = |J| > 0, I \neq J\},$$

where $\kappa_I = \sum_{i\in I}\kappa_i$. Then Z_C accumulates at any $z \in Z$ for all C.

(f) For each $1 \leq i \leq d$, there exists a continuous character $\chi_i : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \to \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$ whose derivative at 1 is the map κ_i and whose evaluation at any $z \in Z$ is the elevation to the $\kappa_i(z)$-th power.

For any $z \in Z$, we equip V_z with the refinement determined by the ordering

$$(p^{\kappa_1(z)}F_1(z),\dots,p^{\kappa_d(z)}F_d(z))$$

of the φ-eigenvalues. We equip $\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z)$ with the associated triangulation. We say that z is *noncritical* if the associated refinement of V_z is noncritical. We set α_i, η_i and Δ_i as in §0.3.

Remark 5.2.4. If V_X is a refined family of rank d, then for each $1 \leq i \leq d$, the i-th wedge product $\wedge^i V_X$ is a weakly refined family with $F = \alpha_i$, the Hodge-Tate weights $\{\kappa_I = \sum_{j\in I}\kappa_j\}_I$ where I goes through all the subsets of $(1,\dots,d)$ with cardinality i, the smallest Hodge-Tate weight $\kappa_1+\cdots+\kappa_i$ and the same Zariski closed subset Z. Hence $(\wedge^i V_X)(\eta_i)$ is a weakly refined family with Hodge-Tate weights $\{\kappa_I - \kappa_{\{1,\dots,i\}}\}_I$ and $F = \alpha_i$; thus its smallest Hodge-Tate weights is 0.

Applying Theorem 5.1.4 to $(\wedge^i V_X)(\eta_i)$, and twisting back, we get the following:

Proposition 5.2.5. *For each* $1 \leq i \leq d$*, the sheaf* $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^i V_X))^{\Delta_i}$ *is a coherent sheaf on* X*.*

Definition 5.2.6. We call $x \in X$ *saturated* for V_X if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(1) for $1 \leq i \leq d$, the coherent sheaf $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^i V_X))^{\Delta_i}$ is locally free of rank 1 around x;

(2) for $1 \leq i \leq d$, the image of $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^i V_X))^{\Delta_i}$ in $\wedge^i(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x))$ generates a rank 1 saturated (φ, Γ)-submodule.

We denote by X_s the subset of saturated points of X.

For each $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $TQ_i(T)$ be the Sen's polynomial for $(\wedge^i V_X)(\eta_i)$, and let $P_i(k) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} Q(-j)$ for any $k \geq 1$. It is clear that $Q_d(T) = 1$. The following proposition follows immediately from Proposition 5.1.8.

Proposition 5.2.7. *For any* $x \in X$*, let* $k_i = \max\{[v_p(\alpha_i(x))], 0\} + 1$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq d-1$*. If*

$$(P_1(k_1) \cdots P_{d-1}(k_{d-1}))(x) \neq 0, \tag{5.2.7.1}$$

then $x \in X_s$ *and* $\dim_{k(x)}(\wedge^i(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x)))^{\Delta_i(x)} = 1$ *for each* $1 \leq i \leq d$*.*

Proposition 5.2.8. *For any* $x \in X$ *and* $1 \leq i \leq d$*, if* $\dim_{k(x)}(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}((\wedge^i V_x)^{\mathrm{ss}}))^{\Delta_i(x)} = 1$*, the coherent sheaf* $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^i V_X))^{\Delta_i}$ *is locally free of rank 1 around* x*, and the natural map*

$$(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^i V_X))^{\Delta_i} \otimes k(x) \to (\wedge^i \mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x))^{\Delta_i(x)}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let M^i_X denote the weakly refined family $(\wedge^i V_X)(\eta_i)$. By assumption and Proposition 5.1.10, we get that M^i_X is locally free of rank 1 around x, and the natural map

$$(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(M^i_X))^{\varphi=\alpha_i,\Gamma=1} \to (\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(M^i_x))^{\varphi=\alpha_i(x),\Gamma=1}$$

is an isomorphism. We then conclude by twisting η_i^{-1}, □

Proposition 5.2.9. *If* z *is regular and noncritical, then* $z \in X_s$*. Furthermore, the image of the sequence* $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X))^{\Delta_1}, \ldots, (\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^d V_X))^{\Delta_d}$ *forms a chain in* $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z)$ *such that its associated filtration is the triangulation associated to the refinement of* $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z)$*.*

Proof. The regularity of z implies that that for any $1 \leq i \leq d$, $(\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}((\wedge^i V_z)^{\mathrm{ss}}))^{\Delta_i(z)}$ has $k(z)$-dimension 1. By Proposition 5.2.8, we see that $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^i V_X))^{\Delta_i}$ is locally free of rank 1 around z, and the natural map

$$(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^i V_X))^{\Delta_i} \otimes k(z) \to (\wedge^i \mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z))^{\Delta_i(z)}$$

is an isomorphism. On the other hand, since z is noncritical, we see that m_i (as in Lemma 5.2.2) belongs to $(\wedge^i \mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z))^{\Delta_i(z)}$. The regularity of z implies that the image of $(\mathscr{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^i V_X))^{\Delta_i}$ in $\wedge^i \mathrm{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z)$ is the $k(z)$-vector space generated by m_i. This yields the proposition. □

Theorem 5.2.10. *The subset of saturated points X_s is scheme-theoretically dense in X and contains all regular noncritical points. Furthermore, the triangulation locus for V_{X_s} with respect to*

$$((\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X))^{\Delta_1}|_{X_s}, \dots, (\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^d V_X))^{\Delta_d}|_{X_s})$$

is X_s itself. As a consequence, the coherent sheaves $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X))^{\Delta_1}, \dots, (\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^d V_X))^{\Delta_d}$ give rise to a triangulation of $\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X)$ with parameters $(\Delta_i/\Delta_{i-1})_{1\leq i\leq d}$ on any affinoid subdomain of X_s.

Proof. It is clear that X_s is the intersection of the subsets of saturated points of the weakly refined families $(\wedge^i V_X)(\eta_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. We therefore deduce the first assertion from Propositions 5.1.7 and 5.2.9. By Proposition 5.2.9, for any regular noncritical $z \in Z$, the image of the sequence of coherent sheaves $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_X))^{\Delta_1}, \dots, (\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^d V_X))^{\Delta_d}$ forms a chain in $\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z)$. Since the set of regular noncritical points is Zariski dense in X, we conclude the second assertion from Theorem 4.2.8. $\square$

Remark 5.2.11. Note that a refined 2-dimensional crystalline representation with different Frobenius eigenvalues is regular. Thus Theorem 5.2.10 removes the assumptions that V_x is irreducible and $F_1(x)/F_x(x) \in p^{\mathbb{Z}}$ in [1, Theorem 2].

5.3 Trianguline

The goal of this subsection is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3.1. *For any $x \in X$, the p-adic representation V_x is trianguline.*

Proof. Let $M(S)$ be an affinoid neighborhood of x. Let k be a positive integer so that $k > \log_p |\alpha_i^{-1}|$ on $M(S)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq d$. Note that both of $(\mathrm{D}^{n,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(\wedge^i V_S)/(t^k))/(\mathrm{D}^{n,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(\wedge^i V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ and $(\mathrm{D}^{n,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(\wedge^i V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ are torsion free S-modules. By Lemma 5.1.11, let $\pi : X' \to M(S)$ be a proper birational map with X' reduced so that the strict transformations of $(\mathrm{D}^{n,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(\wedge^i V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ and $(\mathrm{D}^{n,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(\wedge^i V_S)/(t^k))/(\mathrm{D}^{n,+}_{\mathrm{dif}}(\wedge^i V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ by π are locally free for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. By Lemma 5.1.14, for each $1 \leq i \leq d$, we have that the coherent sheaf $(\wedge^i(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{X'})))^{\Delta_i}$ is locally free of rank 1 and the natural map

$$(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^i V_{X'}))^{\Delta_i} \otimes k(x) \to \wedge^i \mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x)$$

is injective. This implies that $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^i V_{X'}))^{\Delta_i}$ generates a rank 1 saturated $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}[1/t]$-submodule in $\wedge^i \mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x)[1/t]$.

Pick any $s \geq s(V_S)$ such that s is not equal to r_n for any n. Let $r = \rho(s)$. Then t is invertible in $\mathcal{R}^{[r,r]}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, and the natural map $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p}[1/t] \to \mathcal{R}^{[r,r]}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is injective. Now let $M(S')$ be an affinoid subdomain of X', and let $D^{[r,r]}_{S'}$ be the base change of $\mathscr{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{X'})$ to $\mathcal{R}^{[r,r]}_{S'}$; hence it is a vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}^{[r,r]}_{S'}$. We claim that the sequence $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{X'}))^{\Delta_1}, \dots, (\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^d V_{X'}))^{\Delta_d}$ forms a chain in $D^{[r,r]}_x$ for any $x \in M(S')$. In fact, suppose that π is an isomorphism on a scheme-theoretically dense subset U of X'. By Theorem 5.2.10, after shrinking U, we may further suppose that $\pi(U)$ is contained in the saturated locus of $M(S)$. It therefore follows from Theorem 5.2.10 that the sequence $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{X'}))^{\Delta_1}, \dots, (\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^d V_{X'}))^{\Delta_d}$ forms a chain in $D^{[r,r]}_x$ for any $x \in U \cap M(S')$. Since $U \cap M(S')$ is Zariski dense in $M(S')$, we conclude the claim from Lemma 4.2.5.

The claim and Lemma 4.2.4 then implies that the sequence $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{X'}))^{\Delta_1}, \dots, (\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(\wedge^d V_{X'}))^{\Delta_d}$ forms a chain in $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger,s}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x)[1/t]$ for any $x \in M(S')$, yielding that V_x is trianguline. $\square$

5.4 The eigencurve

Fix a positive integer N which is prime to p, a finite set S of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing the infinite place and the prime divisor of pN. Let $\overline{V}$ be a two dimensional vector space over a finite field of characteristic p equipped with a continuous, odd action of $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$. Let $\hat{R}_{\overline{V}}$ be the universal deformation ring of the pseudo representation associated to $\overline{V}$. Let Y be the rigid analytic space associated to $\hat{R}_{\overline{V}}[1/p]$. By the works of Coleman-Mazur [8] and Buzzard [7], we have the eigencurve $\mathcal{C} \subset Y \times \mathbb{G}_m$ whose $\mathbb{C}_p$-valued points correspond bijectively to cuspidal eigenforms of tame level N, which are of finite slope, and whose residue Galois representation have the same semi-simplification as $\overline{V}$. Let $T : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ be the pseudo representation induced from the universal pseudo representation $G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to \hat{R}_{\overline{V}}$.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be the normalization of $\mathcal{C}$. By [8, 5.1.2,5.2], there exists a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$-module of rank 2 equipped with a continuous $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$-linear $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$-action whose associated pseudo representation is isomorphic to the pullback of T; let $V_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$ be its restriction on $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})^\times$ and $\kappa \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ be the pullbacks of the U_p-eigenvalue and weight function respectively. Coleman's classicality theorem then implies that $V_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$ is a family of weakly refined p-adic representations with $F = \alpha, \kappa_1 = 0, \kappa_2 = \kappa - 1$ and Z being the set of all classical points $z \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ such that the crystalline Frobenius eigenvalues of V_z are distinct.

Proposition 5.4.1. *The coherent sheaf* $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ *is invertible. Furthermore, its image in* $\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x)$ *is nonzero for any* $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. *In particular, we have that* V_x *is trianguline for any* $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

Proof. Let $M(S)$ be an admissible affinoid subdomain of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Let k be a positive integer satisfying $k > \log_p |\alpha^{-1}|$ in S. It follows that the map $(\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1} \to (\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ is an isomorphism. Note that $(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ is S-torsion free. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a normal curve, we get that $(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ is a locally free S-module. Furthermore, by Proposition 5.1.7, we know that it is locally free of rank 1 on a Zariski open dense subset of $M(S)$. Hence $(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ is locally free of rank 1 on $M(S)$, yielding the first statement of the theorem. For the second statement, note that $(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))/(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma$ is also S-torsion free; hence it is locally free on $M(S)$. This implies that for any $x \in M(S)$, the natural map $(\mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_S)/(t^k))^\Gamma \otimes k(x) \to \mathrm{D}^{+,n}_{\mathrm{dif}}(V_x)/(t^k)$ is injective. It follows that the natural map $(\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_S))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1} \otimes k(x) \to \mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x)$ is injective. □

Proposition 5.4.2. *Let* $z \in Z$. *Then* z *is saturated if and only if it is noncritical. Furthermore, if* z *is critical, suppose that* $V_z = V_1 \oplus V_2$ *where* V_1 *and* V_2 *are characters with Hodge-Tate weights* 0 *and* $\kappa(z)$ *respectively, then the image of* $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ *in* $\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z) = \mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_1) \oplus \mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_2)$ *is* $(0, k(z) \cdot t^{\kappa(z)} e_2)$ *where* e_2 *is a canonical basis of* $\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_2)$.

Proof. Note that z is always regular since V_z of dimension 2. Thus if z is noncritical, then z is saturated by Proposition 5.2.9. Now suppose that z is critical; then $v_p(\alpha(z)) = k - 1$. By Proposition 5.2.8, we know that the natural map

$$(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1} \otimes k(z) \to (\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z))^{\varphi=\alpha(z),\Gamma=1}$$

is an isomorphism. A short computation shows that $(\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z))^{\varphi=\alpha(z),\Gamma=1} = (0, k(z) \cdot t^{\kappa(z)} e_2)$. □

Now let $N = 1$, and let $\overline{V}$ be absolutely irreducible. Then $\hat{R}_{\overline{V}}$ is isomorphic to the universal deformation ring of $\overline{V}$. Let $V_{\mathcal{C}}$ denote the restriction on $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of the pullback of the universal representation on $\hat{R}_{\overline{V}}$ to $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})^\times$ and $\kappa \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ be the U_p-eigenvalue and weight function respectively. Then $V_\mathcal{C}$ is a family of weakly refined p-adic representations with $F = \alpha$, $\kappa_1 = 0, \kappa_2 = \kappa - 1$ and Z being the set of all classical points z such that the crystalline Frobenius eigenvalues of V_z are distinct.

Theorem 5.4.3. *The coherent sheaf* $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathcal{C}))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ *is locally free of rank 1 around any* $z \in Z$. *For any* $z \in Z$, *it is saturated if and only if it is noncritical. Furthermore, if* z *is critical, then the image of* $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathcal{C}))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ *in* $\mathrm{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z)$ *is the same as described in Proposition 5.4.2.*

Proof. Since z is regular, $(\mathscr{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathcal{C}))^{\varphi=\alpha,\Gamma=1}$ is locally free of rank 1 around z by Proposition 5.2.8. We then proceed as in the proof of the above Proposition. ☐

References

[1] Joel Bellaiche, *Ranks of Selmer groups in an analytic family*, to appear in Transactions of the Aemrican Mathematical Society.

[2] Joel Bellaiche, Gaëtan Chenevier, *Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups*, Astérisque 324.

[3] Laurent Berger, *Représentations p-adiques et équations différentielles*, Inv. Math. 148, 2002, 219-284.

[4] Laurent Berger, *Construction de* (φ,Γ)*-modules : represéntations p-adiques et B-paires*, Algebra and Number Theory 2 (2008), no. 1, 91–120.

[5] Laurent Berger, Pierre Colmez, *Familles de représentations de de Rham et monodromie p-adique*, Astérisque 319 (2008), 303–337.

[6] Siegfried Bosch, Ulrich Güntzer, Reinhold Remmert, *Non-archimedean analysis*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. 261, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[7] Kevin Buzzard, *Eigenvarieties*, L-functions and Galois representations, 59C120, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 320, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[8] Robert Coleman, Barry Mazur, *The eigencurve*, Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry (Durham, 1996), 1C113, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 254, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[9] Pierre Colmez, *Espaces Vectoriels de dimension finie et représentations de de Rham*, Astérisque 319 (2008), 117-186.

[10] Pierre Colmez, *Représentations triangulines de dimension 2*, Astérisque 319 (2008), 213-258.

[11] Matthew Emerton, *Local-global compatibility in the p-adic Langlands programme for* $\mathrm{GL}_2/\mathbb{Q}$, preprint 2011.

[12] Jean-Marc Fontaine, *Arithmétique des représentations galoisiennes p-adiques*, Astérisque 295 (2004) 1-115.

[13] Eugen Hellmann, *Families of trianguline representations and finite slope spaces*, preprint 2012.

[14] Kiran S. Kedlaya, *The algebraic closure of the power series field in positive characteristic*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 129 (2001), 3461-3470.

[15] Kiran S. Kedlaya, *Slope filtrations revisited*, Documenta Mathematica 10 (2005), 447-525.

[16] Kiran S. Kedlaya, *Slope filtrations and* (φ, Γ)*-modules in families*, lecture notes for a three-lecture minicourse given at the Institut Henri Poincaré in January 2010, available at http://math.mit.edu/ kedlaya/papers/.

[17] Kiran S. Kedlaya, Ruochuan Liu, *On families of* (φ, Γ)*-modules*, Algebra and Number Theory, Vol. 4, No. 7, 2010.

[18] Mark Kisin, *Overconvergent modular forms and the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture*, Invent. Math. 153(2) (2003), 373-454.

[19] Ruochuan Liu, *Cohomology and duality for* (φ, Γ)*-modules over the Robba ring*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2008 no.3, Art ID. rnm150.

[20] Ruochuan Liu, *Slope filtrations in families*, to appear in Journal de l'Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu.

[21] Peter Schneider, *Nonarchimedean functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[22] Christopher Skinner, Eric Urban, *Vanishing of L-functions and ranks of Selmer groups*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, 473-500, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.